

Astro 596/496 NPA
Lecture 16
Sept. 30, 2009

Announcements:

- Preflight 3 due noon Friday

Last time: began big bang nucleosynthesis

Q: what are we trying to find out?

Q: how will the results be expressed?

Q: what are initial conditions, cosmic context?

Q: what are simplest realistic assumptions needed?

Standard Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

Simplest Realistic BBN Theory: **“Standard” BBN**

- ★ gravity = General Relativity → FLRW universe
- ★ microphysics = Standard Model of Particle Physics
particle content, couplings as measured in lab
ordinary EM, weak, nuclear interactions
- ★ neutrinos: $N_\nu = 3$ species with usual left-handed couplings
and no net neutrino number (chem. potential $\mu_\nu/T \ll 1$)

Cosmic Context and Initial Conditions

radiation dominated: $\gamma, \nu\bar{\nu}$ relativistic, also e^\pm for $T \gtrsim m_e$

baryons: initially free nucleons n, p

Initially ($T \gtrsim 1$ MeV): weak reactions fast:
drive $n \leftrightarrow p$ interconversion



“Fast”: rates per particle $\Gamma = n\sigma v \gg H$
or, mean life against rxn $\tau = \Gamma^{-1} \ll H^{-1} \sim t$

Note: since weak interactions fast, EM rxns also fast:

$\omega \Rightarrow$ all particles thermal, w/ same T

while weak interaction is fast, i.e., *in equilibrium*
 n/p ratio is “thermal”

think of as *2-state* system: the “nucleon,”

- nucleon “ground state” is the *proton*: $E_1 = m_p c^2$
- nucleon “excited state” is the *neutron*: $E_2 = m_n c^2$

when in equilibrium, Boltzmann sez:

$$\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)_{\text{equilib}} = \frac{g_n}{g_p} e^{-(E_2 - E_1)/T} = e^{-(m_n - m_p)c^2/T} \quad (3)$$

with $\Delta m = m_n - m_p = 1.293318 \pm 0.000009$ MeV

at $T \gg \Delta m$: $n/p \simeq 1$

at $T \ll \Delta m$: $n/p \simeq 0$

Weak $n \leftrightarrow p$ Rates

example: want rate Γ_n per n of $\nu + n \rightarrow e^- + p$
as func. of T

Generally,

$$\Gamma_n = n_\nu \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim T^3 \langle \sigma \rangle \quad (4)$$

since $v_\nu \simeq c$ and $n_\nu \sim T^3$

can show: cross section $\sigma \sim \sigma_0 (E_e/m_e)^2 \propto E^2$

where $\sigma_0 \sim 10^{-44} \text{ cm}^2$ very small!

so thermal avg: $\langle \sigma \rangle \sim \sigma_0 (T/m_e)^2$

or for experts: $\sigma \sim G_F^2 T^2 \sim \alpha_{\text{weak}} T^2 / M_W^4$
so $\Gamma_{\text{weak}} \sim \alpha_{\text{weak}} T^5 / M_W^4$

Weak Freezeout

when *in equilibrium*, U completely described by $T + \text{conserved quantum \#s}$ (chem potentials μ)

But: U would be *boring* if always in equilibrium

Happily, U out of eq. sometimes \rightarrow **“freeze-out”**

\Rightarrow freeze-outs are most interesting times in cosmology

BBN, CMB, DM, baryon excess: all stem from freezeouts

for BBN: $n \leftrightarrow p$ equilibrium only holds when weak reactions can maintain it

- *Q: What would cause equilibrium to fail?*
- Q: How would you quantify when eq fails?*

Cosmic Freezeouts

Rule of thumb: a reaction is

(1) in equilibrium when

conversion rate per nucleon $\Gamma \gg H$ Hub. rate

i.e., mean lifetime \ll expansion time

or, mean free path \ll horizon size $\sim ct \sim cH^{-1}$

(2) “frozen out” when $\Gamma \ll H$

Suggests rough criterion from “freezeout”

• when $\Gamma = H$

i.e., T_f set by: $H(T_f) = \Gamma(T_f)$

✓

we’ll show this in more detail later...

Weak Freezeout Temperature

Weak interactions freeze when $H = \Gamma_{\text{weak}}$, i.e.,

$$\sqrt{G_N} T^2 \sim \sigma_0 m_e^{-2} T^5 \quad (5)$$

$$\Rightarrow T_{\text{weak freeze}} \sim \frac{(G_N)^{1/6}}{(\sigma_0/m_e^2)^{1/3}} \sim \mathbf{1 \text{ MeV}} \quad (6)$$

gravity & weak interactions conspire to give $T_f \sim m_e \sim B_{\text{nuke}}$!

for experts: note that $G_N = 1/M_{\text{Planck}}^2$, so

$$\frac{T^2}{M_{\text{Pl}}} \sim \alpha_{\text{weak}} \frac{T^5}{M_W^2} \quad (7)$$

$$\Rightarrow T_{\text{freeze}} \sim \left(\frac{M_W}{M_{\text{Pl}}} \right)^{1/3} M_W \sim 1 \text{ MeV} \quad (8)$$

∞ freeze at nuclear scale, but by accident!

Q: what happens to n, p then? what else is going on?

Interlude: Pair Annihilation

right after weak freezeout, T_γ drops below $m_e = 0.511$ MeV

pairs become nonrelativistic, annihilate: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

- mass energy \rightarrow back to radiation
- small leftover amount of e^-

★ a sort of “heating” but really just restores relativistic energy
 T_γ never rises, but cooling is briefly slowed

★ since ν s decoupled, don't receive pair energy
cooler than photons thereafter

can show: $T_\nu = (4/11)^{1/3}T_\gamma = 0.714T_\gamma$

today, the (relativistic) cosmic neutrino backgrounds have

$T_{\nu,0} = 0.714T_{\gamma,0} = 1.95$ K

6

★ if you can think of how to detect this *cosmic ν background*
let me know and we'll publish—you can even be second author!

The Short but Interesting Life of a Neutron

(1) at $T > T_f$, $t \sim 1$ s

$n \leftrightarrow p$ rapid

maintain $n/p = e^{-\Delta m/T}$

(2) at $T = T_f$,

fix $n/p = e^{-\Delta m/T_f} \simeq 1/6$

so n “mass fraction” is

$$X_n = \frac{\rho_n}{\rho_B} = \frac{m_n n}{m_n n + m_p p} \approx \frac{n}{n + p} \approx 1/7 \quad (9)$$

(3) until nuclei form,

free n decay: $\dot{n} = -n/\tau_n$, with $\tau_n = 885.7 \pm 0.8$ s

then mass fraction drops as

$$X_n = X_{n,i} e^{-\Delta t/\tau} \quad (10)$$

Q: why take this simple form?

Deuterium Bottleneck

Build complex nuclei from n, p

first step: *deuterium production* $n + p \rightarrow d + \gamma$

www: BBN reaction network

energy release $Q = B(d) = E_\gamma = 2.22$ MeV: exothermic

reverse “photodissociation” $d + \gamma \rightarrow n + p$ allowed but *endothermic*

Naively: at $T < T_f < Q$, too cold to photo-dis

But: $n_\gamma/n_B = 1/\eta \sim 10^9 \gg 1$

\Rightarrow many photons per baryon

$\Rightarrow \langle E_\gamma \rangle < Q$, but **many** photons have $E_\gamma > Q$

D can't survive until $T \ll Q$!

c.f. delay in recombination—same idea

Q: How low to go?

Nuclear Statistical Equilibrium

For a NR species (Maxwell-Boltzmann):

$$n = \left(\frac{mkT}{2\pi\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} e^{-(m-\mu)/T} \quad (11)$$

For $n(p, \gamma)d$ in chem eq: $\mu_n + \mu_p = \mu_d$,
(since $\mu_\gamma = 0$), so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_n n_p}{n_d} &= \left(\frac{(m_n m_p / m_d) kT}{2\pi\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} e^{-(m_n + m_p - m_d)/T} \\ &= \left(\frac{m_u kT / 2}{2\pi\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} e^{-B_D/T} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

example of “nuclear statistical equilibrium” this example: Saha equation

Use mole fraction $Y_i = n_i/n_B$ and $n_B = \eta n_\gamma$

12

$$Y_d \sim Y_n Y_p \eta (T/m_u)^{3/2} e^{B_D/T} \quad (13)$$

Q: what is low- T behavior?

When $Y_d \rightarrow 1$: Nuke buildup starts

$$\ln Y_d \simeq B_D/T + \ln \eta + 3/2 \ln T/m_u \sim 0 \quad (14)$$

so

$$T_D \simeq \frac{B_D}{\ln \eta^{-1}} \sim 0.07 \text{ MeV} \quad (15)$$

i.e., nuke rxns begin at $T \simeq 10^9 \text{ K}$ Note: $T_D \ll B_2$ since $\eta \ll 1$

time $t_d \sim 200 \text{ s} \rightarrow$ “the first 3 min”

between freezeout and T_D :

free n decay: $X_n = X_{n,i} e^{-\Delta t/\tau} \simeq 0.12$

13 www: nuke network Q : where is flow direction? why?

Nuke reaction flow \rightarrow highest binding energy \rightarrow ${}^4\text{He}$

almost all $n \rightarrow {}^4\text{He}$: $n({}^4\text{He})_{\text{after}} = 1/2 n(n)_{\text{before}}$

$$Y_p = X({}^4\text{He}) \simeq 2(X_n)_{\text{before}} \simeq 0.24 \quad (16)$$

$\Rightarrow \sim 1/4$ of baryons into ${}^4\text{He}$, $3/4$ $p \rightarrow \text{H}$

result weakly (log) dependent on η

Robust prediction: large universal ${}^4\text{He}$ abundance

But nuke rxns also freeze out

$\Rightarrow n \rightarrow {}^4\text{He}$ conversion incomplete

leftover traces of incomplete burning:

- D
- ${}^3\text{He}$ (and ${}^3\text{H} \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}$)
- ${}^7\text{Li}$ (and ${}^7\text{Be} \rightarrow {}^7\text{Li}$)

14 trace abundances \leftrightarrow nuke freeze T

\Rightarrow strong $n_B \propto \eta$ dependence

BBN theory: main result

- light element abundance predictions
- depend on baryon density $\leftrightarrow \eta \leftrightarrow \Omega_{\text{baryon}}$

“Schramm Plot”

Lite Elt Abundances vs η

summarizes BBN theory predictions

www: Schramm plot

Note: no $A > 7...$ Q: *why not?*

Why don't we go all the way to ^{56}Fe ?

after all: most tightly bound

\Rightarrow most favored by nucleon statistical equilibrium