Astro 596/496 NPA Lecture 19 Oct. 7, 2009

Announcements:

- Problem Set 3 due next time
- PS 1 returned
- Fermilab Tour www: Fermitour info this Saturday, Oct 10, 8am to ~ 7pm

Last time: BBN theory vs observations

- each element: abundance changes with  $\eta$   $\Rightarrow$  observation  $Y_{obs}$  picks  $\eta$ multiple abundances: tests consistency
- Results www: Schramm plot <sup>4</sup>He gives broad  $\eta$  range D and <sup>7</sup>Li each agree with <sup>4</sup>He but not each other
- though concordant within factor  $\sim$  2 of  $\eta$
- Need "baryon tiebreaker" Q: namely

⊢

## **Problem Set 3: Hints**

#### Units

usually I use (and encourage you to use) T in energy units, i.e.,  $T \rightarrow kT$ , so that effectively  $k_B = 1$  also often write m in energy units, so  $m \rightarrow mc^2$ , and c = 1

**Chemical Potential** (see cosmic thermodynamics notes)

- thermodynamic encoding of *particle number conservation* when appropriate (e.g., baryon number conservation)
- without chem potential,  $\rho = \rho(T)$   $\rightarrow$  a gas must have unique density at a given T!? which would mean it is impossible to compress air at a fixed T!
- in finding and using  $\mu(T)$ , useful to define "quantum concentration"  $n_Q = g(mT/2\pi\hbar)^{3/2}$ ; the  $n_{\text{nonrel}} = n_Q e^{-(m-\mu)/T}$

#### **Relativistic Bosons vs Fermions**

boson integral  $\rho_{\rm b} = g/(2\pi\hbar)^3 \int d^3p E(p) f_{\rm b}(p) = 4\pi g/(2\pi\hbar)^3 \int_0^\infty dp \, cp^3 f_{\rm b}(p)$ to show: fermions have  $\rho_{\rm f} = 7/8 \rho_{\rm b}$  $\rightarrow$  need only show that fermion integral with  $f_{\rm f}(p)$ 

 $\rightarrow$  need only show that refinion integral with  $f_f(p)$  can be massaged into 7/8× (boson integral)

#### **Cosmic Entropy**

<sup>N</sup> note that, e.g., energy density is  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(T) = (\partial E/\partial_V)_T$ so that in 2nd law of thermo,  $E = E(T, V) = \varepsilon V$  for some volume Vsimilarly S = sV, N = nV

## **BBN** in Light of the CMB

CMB temperature fluctuation pattern encodes
a wealth of cosmic parameters ... including baryon density
⇒ new, independent, high-precision cosmic "baryometer"

WMAP (Spergel et al 2003, 2006; Komatsu et al 2008!):  $\Omega_{\text{baryon,CMB}} = 0.0462 \pm 0.0015$  $\Rightarrow \eta_{\text{CMB}} = (6.21 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-10}$ 

- 2.6% precision!
- independent of BBN!

#### BBN vs CMB: Testing Cosmology

cosmic "pillar" vs cosmic pillar!

<sup>ω</sup> www: Schramm plot:  $η_{BBN}$  vs  $η_{CMB}$ Concordance!

## Battle of the Baryons

In more detail:

- 1. use  $\eta_{CMB}$  as input to (Std) BBN theory,
- 2. compute light elements
- 3. compare with observations
- www: abundance likelihoods (CFO)
- D agreement perfect! <sup>4</sup>He agreement excellent
- <sup>7</sup>Li tension clearer: "tie" broken— hot research topic
- "ithium problem" could point to new physics!

## **BBN** Quantitative Results and Implications

Theory-Observation comparison *qualitatively*: tests concordance, and hot big bang if concordance found, then *quantitatively*: measures cosmic baryon-to-photon ratio *Q*: what baryons do, don't count? photons?

#### What's in a Number?

given  $\eta$  and, say,  $T_0 \rightarrow n_{\gamma,0}$ Q: what else can we calculate? Q: to what should these results be compared? Q: implications of comparison

С

## A Cosmic Baryon Census

From  $\eta = n_B/n_\gamma$ , and CMB  $T_0 \rightarrow n_\gamma, 0$ , compute

• baryon number density

 $n_{B,0} = \eta n_{\gamma,0} \sim 2.4 \times 10^{-7}$  baryons cm<sup>-3</sup>  $\sim 1$  baryon/cubic meter

- baryon mass density  $\rho_{B,0} \approx m_p n_{B,0}$
- baryon density parameter  $\Omega_B = \rho_B / \rho_{\rm crit}$

### $0.024 \leq \Omega_B \leq 0.049$

begs for comparison with

σ

- other density parameters
- results of direct searches for baryonic matter

# Subcritical Baryons and Two Kinds of Dark Matter $0.024 \le \Omega_B \le 0.049$



baryons do not close the universe!

 $\Omega_B \ll \Omega_{Matter} \simeq 0.3$ 

most of cosmic matter is not made of baryons!

#### "non-baryonic dark matter"

huge implications for particle physics-more on this to come

Measure known baryons which are directly observable optically

i.e., in *luminous* form (stars, gas):  $\rho_{\text{lum}} = (M/L)_{\star} \mathcal{L}_{\text{vis}}$  $\Omega_{\text{lum}} \simeq 0.0024 h^{-1} \sim 0.004 \ll \Omega_{\text{B}}$ 

→ most baryons dark! "baryonic dark matter" Q: Where are they?

## Where are the dark baryons?

• compact objects (white dwarfs, neutron stars, black holes) search for *MACHOs*: MAssive COmpact Halo Objects via gravitational microlensing www: lensing diagram, MACHO event see lensing events towards LMC! but are they MACHOs or LMC stars? ...probably the latter

• warm/hot intergalactic medium (WHIM) structure formation  $\rightarrow$  infall  $\rightarrow$  shock heat to  $T \sim 10^5 - 10^7$  K note: in galaxy clusters, most baryons in hot "intracluster" gas, not galaxies! www: X-ray cluster but X-rays from WHIM gas harder to see... recent evidence of diffuse "X-ray forest" (PF5) www: Chandra spectra

## What's up with <sup>7</sup>Li?

- observational systematics (e.g., stellar parameters)? Quite possible. (Melendez & Ramirez 2004; FOV05)
- astrophysical systematics (e.g., depletion)? but what about  $^{6}\text{Li}?$  and Li dispersion small ( $\lesssim$  0.2 dex)...
- BBN calculation systematics: nuke reaction rates? But wellmeasured, and can use solar neutrinos to test dominant source:  ${}^{3}\text{He}(\alpha,\gamma){}^{7}\text{Be}$  (CFO04)
- new physics? if so, nature kind-didn't notice till now otherwise, would not have believed hot big bang...

Q

BBN + CMB: Probing Early U. & Astrophysics

combine BBN & precision CMB  $\eta$ removes main parameter two ways to play the game

1. Standard BBN:  $\eta$  is only parameter  $\eta_{CMB}$ +BBN theory  $\rightarrow$  primordial abundances fixed compare to observations  $\rightarrow$  constrain post-BBN nuke e.g.: local ISM has  $D_{ISM}/D_p = 55^{+6}_{-4}\%$ What is the physical significance of this number?

2. Non-standard BBN:  $\eta_{CMB}$  fixed,

all elements probe new physics

- 5 e.g., now D probes  $N_{\nu, eff}$ ...
  - $\rightarrow$  BBN a stronger, more robust probe of early U.

## Director's Cut Extras

#### **Neutrino Counting with BBN: In Detail**

Recall:  $H = 1/2t \sim \sqrt{g_*}T^2$ 

Before weak freeze, rel. degrees of freedom:

$$g_* = 2 + \frac{7}{8} (2 \times 2 + 2 \times N_{\nu})$$
 (1)

$$\gamma \qquad e^{\pm} \qquad \nu \overline{\nu} \tag{2}$$

$$= \frac{22}{4} + \frac{7}{4}N_{\nu} = 10.75 \text{ for } N_{\nu} = 3 \tag{3}$$

fix  $\eta$ , but let  $N_{\nu} = 3 + \Delta N_{\nu}$ if  $\Delta N_{\nu} > 0$ , then  $\delta g_* = 7/4 \Delta N_{\nu}$  $\rightarrow$  higher H at fixed T

Estimate  $\delta Y_p$ 

(1) Weak freeze:  

$$H(T_f) = \Gamma_{np}(T_f)$$
  
 $T_f \propto g_*^{1/6}$   
 $\delta T_f/T_f = 1/6 \ \delta g_*/g_*$   
freeze at higher  $T$ 

$$\frac{\delta X_{n,f}}{X_{n,f}} = \frac{\delta (n/p)_f}{(n/p)_f} = \frac{1}{6} \frac{m_n - m_p}{T_f} \frac{\delta g_*}{g_*}$$
(4)

(2) D bottleneck: 
$$T_d \simeq B_2 / \ln \eta^{-1}$$
,  
 $t_d \propto g_*^{-1/2} T_d^{-2}$   
 $\delta t_d / t_d = -1/2 \delta g_* / g_*$   
nuke buildup sooner  $\rightarrow$  less free  $n$  decay

(3) Element production Recall: at  $t_d$ ,  $X_n = X_{n,f}e^{-t_d/\tau_n}$ and  $Y_p = 2X_n$ , so

$$\frac{\delta Y_p}{Y_p} = \frac{\delta X_{n,f}}{X_{n,f}} - \frac{t_d}{\tau_n} \frac{\delta t_d}{t_d}$$
(5)

hotter freeze less decay (6)

$$= \left(\frac{1}{6} \frac{m_n - m_p}{T_f} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{t_d}{\tau_n}\right) \frac{\delta g_*}{g_*}$$
(7)  
$$\simeq 0.07 \ \Delta N_{\nu}$$
(8)

estimate  $\delta Y_p \sim 0.016 \ \Delta N_{\nu}$ full numerics:  $\delta Y_p = 0.013 \ \Delta N_{\nu}$ more  $\nu \rightarrow$  more He www: Schramm plot for different  $N_{\nu}$