

Astro 596/496 NPA

Lecture 3

Aug. 28, 2009

Announcements:

- PF 1 posted due Fri. Sept. 2, ≤ 12 noon

Last time: abundances

Q: top 3 cosmic components?

Q: top solar system elements?

`www: solar system abundances`

clear patterns, features in solar system composition

want to understand how these patterns came about

↳ we will see: these patterns represent

a symphony of cosmic and stellar processes

Nuclear Physics

Nuclear Physics

atomic nuclei make of **nucleons** = protons and neutrons

nucleon	mass	spin	charge
proton	$m_p c^2 = 938 \text{ MeV}$	$S_p = 1/2$	$Q_p = \ Q_e\ = e$
neutron	$m_n c^2 = m_p c^2 + 1.3 \text{ MeV}$	$S_n = 1/2$	$Q_n = 0$

consider a nucleus with Z protons, N neutrons

mass number $A = Z + N$

Q : baryon number?

notation: $\boxed{\begin{matrix} A \\ N \end{matrix} \times Z}$

but usually use shorthand, because:

ω chemical symbol $\times \Rightarrow Z$, then can get $N = A - Z$

e.g., ${}^3_1\text{He}^2 \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}$

“nuclide” = a particular (Z, N) combination
(strictly, and a particular energy state)

www: chart of the nuclides – note axes

isobar: fixed A (e.g., ${}^7\text{Li}$ and ${}^7\text{Be}$)

isotope: fixed Z (e.g., ${}^6\text{Li}$ and ${}^7\text{Li}$)

isotone: fixed N (e.g., ${}^{14}\text{N}$ and ${}^{15}\text{O}$)

Q: any Simpson's fans?

Q: isobar/tope/tone patterns on nuclide chart?

Nuclear Masses

to zeroth order:

mass of nucleus = $A \times$ “nucleon mass”

to make this idea precise, define

atomic mass unit (amu):

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\text{u}} &= \frac{m(^{12}\text{C})}{12} = \frac{1 \text{ g}}{N_{\text{A}} \text{ mol}} = 1.66 \times 10^{-24} \text{ g} \\ &= 931.5 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \simeq 1 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \\ &\simeq m_p \simeq m_n \end{aligned}$$

₅ (neutral atom mass: includes $6m_e c^2$)

now define: **mass excess** (or **mass defect**)

$$\Delta_i = (m_i - A_i m_u) c^2 \quad (1)$$

↑ neutral atom mass (2)

e.g., $\Delta(^{12}\text{C}) = 0$ Q: *why?*

$$\Delta(^{16}\text{O}) = -4.737 \text{ MeV}$$

$$\Delta(^1\text{H}) = 7.289 \text{ MeV}$$

www: wallet card

Q: *why are mass defects $\neq 0$ for all but ^{12}C ?*

Nuclear Binding Energy

binding energy: for nuclide i

$$B_i = \text{parts} - \text{whole} \quad (3)$$

$$= (Z_i m_H c^2 + N_i m_n c^2) - m_i c^2 \quad (4)$$

stability requires $B_i > 0$, i.e., whole $<$ sum of parts
(c.f., $m_H = m_p + m_e - 13.6 \text{ eV}/c^2$)

Q: *why?*

www: Chart of nuclides – note valley of stability

note that larger nuclei have large B_i , but shared among more nucleons, so invent:

binding energy per nucleon B_i/A_i

∨

www: Great curve of nuclear binding energy

Q: *what strikes you?*

Binding Energy: Trends and Consequences

Nuclear binding energy features:

- sharp rise in B_i/A_i at low A
- local max at ${}^4\text{He}$
- no stable nuclei at $A = 5, 8$
- lowest B/A for D, LiBeB
- max B/A for middle masses: peak at ${}^{56}\text{Fe}$

with this in mind, revisit solar abundances:

www: SS abundances

Q: significance?

The Nuclear Fingerprint in Solar Abundances

Many observed features in solar abundances reflect observed features in nuclear binding energy curve

for example:

- ★ D, Li, Be, B are “fragile”: weakly bound—low B/A
but these also have very low abundances
- ★ binding has broad peak around ^{56}Fe
...where abundances show a broad peak

See nuclear BE effects in SS
(and stellar) abundances:

⇒ confirms: abundance pattern controlled by *nuclear physics!*

◦ but atoms have their own binding too...

Q: *why can't abundance pattern be due to atomic binding?*

Nuclei vs Atoms

Useful to compare/contrast nuclear vs atomic interactions and structures

Q: controlling forces/interaction(s) in each?

Q: nuke/atomic similarities?

Q: nuke/atomic differences?

Properties of the Nuclear Force

EM force completely understood

via Maxwell's equations and QED

for static point charges: simple potential $V(r) = Q/r$

- central force
- always either attractive or repulsive

Nuclear force not fully understood, much more complicated

(see, e.g., Krane, *Nuclear Physics*)

- nuclear interaction and nucleons not fundamental but manifestations of strong interactions among quarks
analogy: EM force vs molecular interactions
- nucleon-nucleon ($N - N$) potential
not known from first principles: empirical

The Nuclear Force

- $N - N$ interaction *attractive* at “large” distances $\gtrsim 1$ fm, strongly *repulsive* at short distances $\lesssim 1$ fm
→ 0 at ~ 1 fm
- $N - N$ interaction strongly *spin-dependent*
e.g., $d = np$ exists only as $J = 1$ ($p \uparrow n \uparrow$), not $J = 0$ ($p \uparrow n \downarrow$)
⇒ have $\vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2$ terms in $N - N$ potential
- $N - N$ potential has *non-central* “tensor” term
anisotropic, angle average = 0
- $N - N$ force *charge symmetric*:
 $n - n$ interaction = $p - p$ aside from Coulomb effects
- $N - N$ nearly *charge independent*: $V_{nn} \simeq V_{pp} \simeq V_{np}$