

Astro 596/496 NPA  
Lecture 21  
Oct. 12, 2009

Announcements:

- Preflight 4 due noon Friday
- thanks to all Fermions for a great trip!

Last time: began particle dark matter  
consider stable/long-lived particle  $\chi$

- *equal* numbers of  $\bar{\chi}$ , or  $\chi = \bar{\chi}$
- nonrelativistic today:  $m_\chi \gg T_0 \sim 3 \times 10^{-4}$  meV
- if *still in (chemical) equilib.*:  $n_\chi \sim e^{-m_\chi/T} \rightarrow 0$  today  
i.e., all  $\chi\bar{\chi}$  have annihilated away

$\tau$   
 $\Rightarrow$  if  $\chi$  is DM: must have dropped *out of equilibrium*

# Particle Dark Matter: Thermal Relics

Kolb & Turner, Ch. 5; Dodelson Ch. 3.4

if nonbaryonic stable particles created in Early U.  
→ dark matter today (?)

consider an exotic particle  $\psi$  which is:

- *stable* (doesn't) decay
- created in early U., along with  $\bar{\psi}$
- can *annihilate* via  $\psi\bar{\psi} \leftrightarrow X\bar{X}$   
note: could be that  $\psi = \bar{\psi} \rightarrow$  annihilations unavoidable!

if  $\psi\bar{\psi}$  made in pairs (or  $\psi = \bar{\psi}$ )

→ thermally produce  $n_\psi = n_{\bar{\psi}}$  in early U.

≈ if only *annihilate* afterwards:  $n_\psi = n_{\bar{\psi}}$  *always*

Q: if these are DM, why don't they just annihilate today?

# Freezeout and Relic Abundance of a Symmetric Species

for *conserved* species  $\psi$  (chem. pot.  $\mu_\psi \neq 0$ )

constant comoving number:  $d(na^3) \stackrel{\text{cons}}{=} 0$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{n}_\psi + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} n_\psi \stackrel{\text{cons}}{=} 0$$

for *non-conserved* species:  $d(n_\psi a^3) = qa^3 dt \neq 0$ , where  
 $q = \text{source/sink rate} = \text{creation/destruction rate per unit vol}$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{n}_\psi + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} n_\psi = q$$

assume annihilation  $\psi\bar{\psi} \rightarrow X\bar{X}$  product  $X$  thermal,  
 with chem. pot.  $\mu_X \ll T \Rightarrow n_X = n_{\bar{X}}$

$$q = q_{\text{net}} = q_{\text{prod}} - q_{\text{ann}} \tag{1}$$

$$= \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{prod}} n_X n_{\bar{X}} - \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{ann}} n_\psi n_{\bar{\psi}} \tag{2}$$

$$\omega \quad = \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{prod}} n_X^2 - \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{ann}} n_\psi^2 \tag{3}$$

in equilib,  $Q$ : what condition holds for  $q$ ?

chem equilb:  $q = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{q_{\text{prod}} = q_{\text{ann}}}$   
 so in general

$$\dot{n}_\psi + 3Hn_\psi = q = -\langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{ann}} \left[ n_\psi^2 - (n_\psi^{\text{eq}})^2 \right] \quad (4)$$

and a similar expression for  $\bar{\psi}$

Change variables:

(1) use **comoving** coords:

photon density  $n_\gamma \propto T^3 \propto a^{-3}$ ,

so put  $Y = n_\psi/n_\gamma$  to remove volume dilution

then  $\dot{n}_\psi + 3\dot{a}/a n_\psi = n_\gamma \dot{Y}$

(2) put  $x = m_\psi/T \propto a$

since  $t \propto 1/T^2 \propto x^2$ ,

$dY/dt = dY/dx \dot{x} = H x dY/dx$

Then:

$$Hx \frac{dY}{dx} = -n_\gamma \langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{ann}} (Y^2 - Y_{\text{eq}}^2) \quad (5)$$

$$(6)$$

finally

$$\frac{x}{Y_{\text{eq}}} \frac{dY}{dx} = -\frac{\Gamma_A}{H} \left[ \left( \frac{Y}{Y_{\text{eq}}} \right)^2 - 1 \right] \quad (7)$$

where  $\Gamma_A = n_{\psi}^{\text{eq}} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{ann}}$ : annihil. rate

So: change in comoving  $\psi$  controlled by

(1) annihil. effectiveness  $\Gamma/H$

(2) deviation from equil

when  $\Gamma/H \gg 1$

*Q: what if  $Y < Y_{\text{eq}}$ ?  $Y > Y_{\text{eq}}$ ?*

when  $\Gamma/H < 1$

*Q: how does  $Y$  change?*

5

*Q: how you you expect  $Y$  to evolve?*

when  $\Gamma/H \gg 1$ ,  $Y$  driven to  $Y_{\text{eq}}$

when  $\Gamma/H < 1$ ,  $Y$  change is small  $\rightarrow$  freezeout!

relic abundance at  $T \rightarrow 0$  or  $x \rightarrow \infty$  is

$Y_{\infty} \simeq Y_{\text{eq}}(x_f)$ : value at freezeout

Step back:

How can a symmetric species have

$n_{\psi} = n_{\bar{\psi}} \neq 0$  at  $T \ll m$ ?

physically: expansion is key

if  $H = 0$ ,  $Y_\infty = Y_{\text{eq}}(\infty) = 0$ :

→ all  $\psi$  find  $\bar{\psi}$  partner, annihilate

but  $H \neq 0$ : when U dilute enough,

$\psi$  never finds  $\bar{\psi}$ : i.e.,  $\Gamma \ll H$

nonzero relic abundance

*hot* relics:  $x_f \ll 1$  ( $T_f \gg m$ )

*cold* relics:  $x_f \gg 1$

Note: hot/cold *relics* refers to freezeout conditions

But: hot/cold *dark matter* refers to structure formation criteria  
(namely,  $m$  vs temp  $T_{\text{eq}}$  at matter-rad equality)

## Hot Relics: Neutrinos

Neutrinos are an obvious and attractive candidate for non-baryonic dark matter *Q: because?*

- ★ Laboratory studies of  $\beta$  decay  
e.g., precision measurement of  $e^-$  energy in  ${}^3\text{H} \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$   
place limits on  $\nu_e$  mass *Q: how?*  
current PDG limit:  $m(\nu_e) < 2 \text{ eV}$
- ★ We shall see: *solar* and *atmospheric*  $\nu$ s  
will ultimately show *all* 3 species have  $m(\nu) \lesssim \text{few eV}$   
 $\Rightarrow$  so neutrinos are definitely **hot relics** *Q: because?*

But you will show (PS 4): neutrino density parameter is

$$\Omega_\nu \simeq \frac{\sum_i m(\nu_i)}{45 \text{ eV}} \quad (8)$$

$\infty$

*Q: implications for dark matter?*

*Q: implications for particle physics?*

# Dark Matter Requires New Physics

**no** viable particle dark matter candidates  
in Standard Model of particle physics

*non-baryonic DM demands physics beyond the Standard Model*

Hugely important and exciting for particle physics!

Unlike dark energy: particle physics *does* offer solutions!

particle candidates available “off the shelf”

invented for particle physics motivations independent from DM!

- lightest supersymmetric particle
- axion
- strangelets...

6

(Almost) all of these are formed as *cold relics*

## Cold Relics: WIMPs

cold relic: non-relativistic at freezeout

$$\text{so } x_f = m/T_f \gg 1 \rightarrow T_f \ll m$$

$$\Rightarrow n_{\text{eq}} \sim e^{-m/T} (mT)^{3/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow Y_{\text{eq}} \sim e^{-x} x^{3/2}$$

Freezeout:

$$\Gamma_{\text{ann}} = H \text{ at } T = T_f$$

$$\Rightarrow n_{\text{eq}} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{ann}} \sim \sqrt{G} T^2$$

what needed to find value of  $T_f$ ?

To solve:

- need annihilation cross section  
for many models,  $\langle\sigma v\rangle \propto v^n$  (*S*-wave:  $n = 0$ )  
 $\Rightarrow \langle\sigma v\rangle(x) = \sigma_1 c x^{n/2}$ , where  $\sigma_1 = \sigma(E = m)$
- convenient rewrite  $1/\sqrt{G} = M_{\text{Pl}} \simeq 10^{19}$  GeV  
(Planck Mass)

set  $\Gamma_{\text{ann}}(T_f) = H(T_f)$ , and solve for  $T_f$

Find:  $x_f \sim \ln(m M_{\text{Pl}} \sigma_1) \Rightarrow T_f = m/x_f$

So

$$Y_\infty \simeq Y_{\text{eq}}(x_f) \tag{9}$$

$$\sim \frac{x_f^{3/2}}{m M_{\text{Pl}} \sigma_1} \tag{10}$$

→ present relic number density

$$n_{\psi,0} = Y_{\infty} n_{\gamma,0} = 400 Y_{\infty} \text{ cm}^{-3} \quad (11)$$

present relic mass density

$$\rho_{\psi,0} = m n_{\psi,0} \simeq \frac{x_f^{3/2} n_{\gamma,0}}{M_{\text{Pl}} \sigma_1} \quad (12)$$

What have we shown?

*if* a symmetric stable species ever created  
(annihilates but not decays)

*then* annihilations will freeze, and

*inevitably* have nonzero relic density today.

This calculation is of the highest interest to particle physicists

Q: *why?*

We have calculated a relic density

Q: *To what should this be compared?*

## Cold Relics: Present Abundance

★  $\rho_{\psi,0}$  indep of  $m_{\psi}$

★  $\rho_{\psi,0} \propto 1/\sigma_1$ : the weak prevail!

Q: *what sort of cross section is relevant here?*

★ To get “interesting” present density:

$\Omega_{\psi} \sim 1 \rightarrow \rho_{\psi} \sim \rho_{\text{crit}}$  demands a specific cross section

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{x_f^{3/2} n_{\gamma,0}}{\Omega_{\psi} M_{\text{p}} \rho_{\text{crit}}} \quad (13)$$

$$\sim 10^{-37} \text{ cm}^2 \left(\frac{x_f}{10}\right)^{3/2} \quad (14)$$

13

scale of the Weak interaction! [ $\sigma_{\text{weak}}(E \sim \text{GeV}) \sim 10^{-38} \text{ cm}^2$ ]

# The WIMP Miracle

**Dark Matter** candidate:

if DM is a cold symmetric relic

needed *annihilation cross section* is at Weak scale!

corresponding energy: if  $\sigma \sim \alpha/E^2$

then  $\sigma \sim 10^{-36} \text{ cm}^2 = 10 \text{ pb} \rightarrow E \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$

deeper reason for DM as

Weakly Interacting Massive Particle: **WIMP**

that weak-scale annihilations  $\rightarrow \Omega_\chi \sim \Omega_{\text{nbdm}}$ : **“WIMP Miracle”**

*How to find them?*

*What if we do? What if we don't?*