Astro 350 Lecture 37 Nov. 28, 2011

Announcements:

- HW11 due Friday
- Discussion Question 11 please give your vote
- Check syllabus: lowest HW and Discussion score dropped but you are still responsible for all of the material
- **ICES** available online please do it!

I do read and use comments!

Before break: primordial nucleosynthesis

Ν

• Theory: nuclear reactions in the early universe at times t = 1 sec to 3 minutes make lightest elements:

76% hydrogen, 24% 4 He, traces of D, 3 He, 7 Li amounts each depend on cosmic density of

baryons = anything made of atoms and nothing heavier! all other elements made by stars

 Observation: measure light elements in real universe not easy to do: have to find samples with minimum "pollution" by element production in stars

Result

Combine observations (+ errors!) observed light elements agree with theory (and each other!) if baryon density $\Omega_{\rm B} = \rho_{\rm B}/\rho_{\rm crit}$ in range

$$0.040 \lesssim \Omega_{\mathsf{B}} \lesssim 0.050 \tag{1}$$

recap: extrapolated big bang to t = 1 s, predicted lite elts kinda amazing: not only qualitative agreement ("lotsa helium") but even detailed quantitative agreement with observations! Cosmo bragging rights: BBN is earliest probe!

BBN: Implications

Qualitatively

extrapolated big bang to t = 1 s predicted lite elts \rightarrow agreement with observations big bang working well back to 1 sec!

Quantitatively

observed lite elements measure cosmic baryons i.e., total amount of matter in form of atoms $\Rightarrow 0.040 \lesssim \Omega_B \lesssim 0.050$

- 1. $\Omega_B \ll$ 1: baryons don't close the U.
- [►] 2. Ω_{lum} ~ 0.007 ≪ Ω_B baryonic dark matter hot (10⁶⁻⁷ K) intergalactic gas?

3. $\Omega_{matter} \approx 0.3 \gg \Omega_{B}$: non-baryonic dark matter

confirms: **most dark matter** is **not** made of atoms of any kind in any arrangement! \rightarrow must be exotic form of matter!

known matter = anything on the periodic table is a tiny fraction of the makeup of the cosmos!

Early Universe Cosmology Scorecard

Recall strategy:

- inventory universe today
- extrapolate back to early epochs
- apply known laws of nature to expanding, cooling U
- identify observable consequences ("fossils") persisting today
- measure fossils \rightarrow learn about early U!

Cosmo Report Card

σ

Epoch	Recombination	Big Bang Nuke
cosmic time t	$\sim400,000$ yr	\sim 1 sec–3 min
micro-processes	nuclei $+e \rightarrow$ atoms	p+n ightarrow nuclei
predicted fossils	thermal radiation	baryons $ ightarrow$ H, He, Li
observed?	$\textbf{Yes!} \rightarrow CMB$	Yes! \rightarrow primordial abundances
grade	А	A

iClicker Poll: ICES

Vote your conscience!

Did you get one or more ICES notification emails for this course?

- A Yes, and I promise to fill out the form if I have not already
- B No, but I promise to go to https://ices.cte.uiuc.edu/ and fill out the form if I have not already

The Very Early Universe

```
CMB success \Rightarrow understand Univ at t \sim 400,000 yr z \sim 1100 and T \sim 1 MeV
```

```
BBN success \Rightarrow understand Universe at t \sim 1 s z \sim 10^{10} and T \sim 1 MeV
```

```
success gives confidence: boldly extrapolate to t \ll 1 s and T \gg 1 MeV
```

Q: what are conditions like? ∞ Q: what physics needed to describe?

A Brief History of Time

The Very Early Universe & Ultra-High-Energy Physics

Planck Epoch: $t \lesssim 10^{-43}$ s

general relativity invalid – quantum effects large \Rightarrow need quantum GR theory: quantum gravity i.e., we don't know what happens at 10^{-43} s which means the one thing we can be sure of is that we aren't yet "qualified" to go back earlier to the big bang itself t = 0 sec!

→ the nature of the big bang itself intimately tied to the unification of gravity and quantum mechanics the ultimate inner space/outer space connection!

Of course, there are ideas:

- maybe universe described by string theory?
- maybe spacetime infected w/ quantum fuzziness (?)
- quantum black holes created and evaporated (?)
- or maybe the U is a braneworld...

9

Extra Dimensions on the Brane

Braneworld Scenario for Quantum Cosmology

proposes that our (expanding) 3-dimensional space is just a "surface" / "membrane" in a much larger 4-dimensional(!) "bulk" space! with particles (i.e., us!) confined to brane, but gravity extending into the "bulk" one suggestion: our 3-D "brane" has another "parallel" brane very nearby (in a 4th dimension!) with side-effect: gravity from matter in sibling brane appears to us as DM (and we are DM for them!)

braneworld ideas currently being in the lab! would show up as departure from $F_{\text{grav}} \propto r^{-2}$ to far: inverse square holds down to $\sim 1 \text{ mm}$ \rightarrow "sibling" brane has to be at least this close!

Particle Physics Today: Success and Its Discontents

Current theory of elementary particles: "the Standard Model of Particle Physics"

all known particles explained in terms of

- matter particles in "families" of quarks and "leptons" (e, ν and cousins)
- interacting with four fundamental forces: gravity, electromagnetism, and the nuke and weak forces
- with forces "carried" by another set of particles i.e., photons and cousins

How does this stack up against experiment?

• extremely (annoyingly!) successful

11

- \Rightarrow no known disagreement with experiment!
- e.g., e^- magnetic moment (g-2) measurement agrees with theory to 1 part in 10^{10} !

- But: Standard Model only tested in lab to Fermilab energies $E \sim 1 \,\text{TeV} = 10^{12} \,\text{eV}$
- And: Standard Model begs the questions: why the patterns of particles we see? why four forces are they unified (like E&M are)? where does mass come from? why is matter one class of particles (fermions) and force carriers another (bosons)?

Standard Model a "victim of its own success" carries the seeds of its destruction/supplanting

To address these questions: new particle theories give possible answers to these questions as a by-product, forced to invent new particles:

- almost always high-mass ($m \gtrsim 1 \text{ TeV} = 1000 m_{\text{proton}}$)
- almost always weakly interacting

 (at "low" energies = Fermilab/CERN)
- note: invented to fix particle problems, not with cosmology in mind (no ulterior motive!)

Today: new particles hard to make But in early U: created everywhere!

 $\stackrel{t_{\omega}}{\sim}$ Q: possible fossils today? what conditions needed?

The Heavenly Accelerator and Dark Matter

If exotic massive particles exist \rightarrow created in early universe

If stable: remain today → natural candidates for **dark matter** bonus: naturally weakly interacting

"just what the doctor ordered" Weakly Interacting Massive Particles: WIMPs! key point: not invented for cosmology but for particle physics reasons

So: if particle theorists are right:
can't *avoid* a U filled with crazy WIMPs
assume they are the DM: *Q: how detect them in the lab?*

14

Direct Detection of WIMPs

Difficult! ...but not impossible

weakly interacting \rightarrow experiments similar to ν detection

- go underground
- expect small count rate (\lesssim few events/month)

www: WIMP experiments

WIMP-nucleus collisions: nucleus recoils with $\sim 1~{\rm keV}$ measure recoil energy: cryogenic detectors

strategy: look for annual variations

 $\vec{v}_{\text{WIMP}} = \vec{v}_{\odot} + \vec{v}_{\text{Earth,orbit}}$

 $\stackrel{_{\mathrm{ff}}}{\to}$ → velocity has time change due to earth orbit → modulation in 1-year period, amplitude $v_{\mathrm{Earth}} \sim 10\% v_{\odot}$

WIMP Search Results

1998: Italian experiment (DAMA) claims evidence! by now: claim evidence is strong

- very controversial result!
- most competing groups don't see signal
- could be different WIMP interactions for different nuclei
- ...or could be false alarm

How to resolve dispute? Better experiments

- will be coming online
- either will find WIMPs, or rule out favorite theories
- stay tuned!