

Astro 350
Lecture 6
Sept. 2, 2011

Announcements:

- HW1 due now
- HW2 available, due in 1 week
- Discussion Question 2 posted, due next Wednesday

Last time:

- Galileo: special cases of motion—free bodies, free fall
Q: what are they defined as? what are the motions like?
- Newton's Laws of Motion
Q: Laws I and II?

- ⊢ *Q: how does Newton II enable fortunetelling and archæology*
Q: what information do you need to predict future & past?

Fortunetelling (and Archæology!) with Newton II

input: at initial time, need to know/specify

- object mass m
- all of forces acting on m

⇒ find *net force* F

turn the math crank: $a = F/m$

→ find *acceleration* = change in velocity

→ use this to find new position, new velocity
at at moment a little later

→ at new time and position, find new net force

...lather rinse repeat

Result: find particle path in future!

~

But also: can mathematically “run the movie backwards”
and predict the past history as well!

Newton III: “Action-Rection”

a rule about how forces behave
between two objects

if 2 bodies interact:

the **force** exerted by object 1 on object 2
is **equal and opposite** to
the **force** exerted by object 2 on object 1

Q: application—you standing still

Q: Jump shot

Explaining Kepler

Kepler I: planets move in ellipse
this is curved path
direction of motion changing

So: velocity changes
→ planets **accelerate**

⇒ need force
gravity

diagram: Sun, planet

↳ label force free motion, deflection due to gravity, actual motion

Universal Gravitation

Newton's Theory: combined all of the following ideas

- gravity acts beyond earth
- gravity directed on line connecting centers of bodies
- gravity strength decreases with distance
- all bodies are sources of gravity
⇒ everything attracts everything else

Can summarize mathematically compact way:
for 2 bodies, masses m_1 , m_2
centers separated by distance R

gravitational force: $F \propto m_1$
 $F \propto m_2$
 $F \propto 1/R^2$

together:

$$F = G \frac{m_1 m_2}{R^2} \quad (1)$$

where G is just a fixed, constant number, same always:

$$G = 6.7 \times 10^{-11} \frac{\text{m}^3}{\text{kg s}^2} \quad (2)$$

- *Q: how is equation similar/different from list on previous slide?*
- gravity force $F \propto 1/R^2$: **“inverse square law”**
Q: force on satellite 2× as far from earth center as us?

satellite $2\times$ as far from earth center as we are

\Rightarrow Force is $1/2^2 = 1/4$ as strong, i.e., 4 times weaker

why? $F(R) \propto 1/R^2$ for any R

so: compare at $R = R_e$ (earth radius) and $R = 2R_e$

proportional means that

$$\frac{F(2R_e)}{F(R_e)} = \frac{1/(2R_e)^2}{1/R_e^2} = \frac{1/(4R_e^2)}{1/R_e^2} = \frac{R_e^2}{4R_e^2} = \frac{1}{4} \quad (3)$$

Gravity and Planet Motion

Newton II: input is force, output is motion

For planets around Sun, force is gravity (*free fall!*)

So: What is motion when $F = G \frac{m_{Sun} m_{planet}}{R^2}$?

Now just a math problem.

diagram: sun, planet orbit, \vec{v} , \vec{F}

Newton II + Gravity: properties of predicted orbits

- orbit is ellipse, with sun at one focus
- equal areas in equal times
- $a_{AU}^3 = P_{yr}^2$

actually better, more info: $a^3 = GM_{Sun} P^2 / 4\pi^2$

∞

Q: why is this better?

So: *Newton's laws + gravity force → Kepler's laws !*
theory agrees with observation!

Q: effect on planets of Sun's mass doubled?

Q: effect on planets of Sun's size doubled?

Note: only force on planet is gravity: free fall

$$m_{\text{planet}} a_{\text{planet}} = F_{\text{planet}} = G \frac{m_{\text{planet}} M_{\text{Sun}}}{R^2} \quad (4)$$

$$a_{\text{planet}} = G \frac{M_{\text{Sun}}}{R^2} \quad (5)$$

free fall acceleration only depends on Sun mass M_{Sun}
and Sun-planet orbit distance R

→ **independent** of planet mass or size!

→ at same R , all objects accelerate same way

⇒ **equivalence principle pops out of Newton gravity!** Woo hoo!

“Turning the Dials”

- double Sun’s mass → double acceleration → faster orbits
- double Sun’s size → same mass → **no change** in orbits

Testing Newton's Gravity

Moons of Jupiter: orbits obey Kepler's laws
→ Jupiter's gravity works like Sun's, Earth's

1830's: Uranus observed orbit did *not* follow predictions
of Newtonian solar system model
the death Newton's gravity?

Remember: have to agree with **all** data, not just some
even one clear failure is enough to kill theory
e.g., Kepler and Mars: just a small discrepancy from circular
but still had to throw out circular orbits

maybe...but also: maybe have not included all sources of gravity
maybe unknown object causes U's deviations
⇒ a new planet?

iClicker Poll: Uranus Discrepancy

1830's Problem: *measured* Uranus orbit *doesn't match* predictions of Newtonian Gravity *theory*

Vote your conscience!

Which seems more likely to you?

- A** Newton's gravity theory *correct*, but not all gravity sources had been included
- B** Newton's gravity theory *incorrect* (or at least incomplete)

Q: *what experiment/observation would tell which is right?*

if unknown object, could predict where should be
did this, looked, and in 1846...

Neptune found at right position—*predicted* by Newton's gravity

other more recent tests:

Binary Stars: two stars orbiting each other
move in ellipses, obey Kepler's laws

www: `binary star orbit`

→ Gravity theory works outside solar system

Kepler described planet motion

Newton explained plant motion

- result from nature of gravity
- a complete, accurate, powerful theory

Copernican Revolution

the Earth is a typical planet

one among many that orbit the Sun

not the center of the solar system

...only the first of many such revolutions!