

Astro 350
Lecture 5
Sept. 7, 2012

Announcements:

- *Homework 1* was due at start of class
- *Homework 2* out, due at start of class next Friday
- *Discussion 2* on Compass, due by start of class Wednesday
- *Register* your iClicker; link on course webpage

Last time:

- Galileo: special cases of motion—free bodies, free fall
Q: what are they defined as? what are the motions like?

Newton's Laws of Motion

motion & forces linked

Newton I. "Inertia"

- an object at rest stays at rest if no net force acts on it
- an moving object goes in straight line w/ const speed
if no forces act on it

i.e., "free body" as per Galileo

so we say: objects have "*interia*" or "*momentum*"

⇒ will keep their state of motion (i.e., velocity)

∞ *unless* and until a net force acts

Newton II: “ $F = ma$ ”

- a *net force* acting on an object causes it to *accelerate*
- $a \propto F$ and $a \propto 1/m$ Q: *examples?*
so $a \propto F/m$, or $F = ma$

Examples:

- ball on table, at rest Q: *how many forces? net force?*
- circular motion: speed const, yet force applied Q: *what's up?*
diagram: circular motion: velocity, force, force-free path

2nd Law a mathematical machine which **predicts future!**

Q: *how? where's the fortunetelling in $F = ma$?*

ω Q: *what information needed to do this?*

Fortunetelling (and Archæology!) with Newton II

input: at initial time, need to know/specify

- object mass m
- all of forces acting on m

⇒ find *net force* F

turn the math crank: $a = F/m$

→ find *acceleration* = change in velocity

→ use this to find new position, new velocity
at at moment a little later

→ at new time and position, find new net force
...lather, rinse, repeat

Result: find particle path in future!

↳ But also: can mathematically “run the movie backwards”
and predict the past history as well!

Newton III: “Action-Rection”

a rule about how forces behave
between two objects

if 2 bodies interact:

the **force** exerted by object 1 on object 2
is **equal and opposite** to
the **force** exerted by object 2 on object 1

Q: application—you standing still

Q: Jump shot

Explaining Kepler

Kepler I: planets move in ellipse
this is curved path
direction of motion changing

So: velocity changes
→ planets **accelerate**

⇒ need force
gravity

diagram: Sun, planet

o label force free motion, deflection due to gravity, actual motion

Universal Gravitation

Newton's Theory: combined all of the following ideas

- gravity is a **force**
the force of gravity on you is your **weight**
- gravity acts beyond earth
- gravity directed on line connecting centers of bodies
- gravity strength decreases with distance
- ∟ ● all objects with mass are sources of gravity
⇒ everything attracts everything else

Can summarize mathematically compact way:
for 2 bodies, masses m_1, m_2
centers separated by distance R

$$F_{\text{grav}} \propto m_1$$

gravitational force: $F_{\text{grav}} \propto m_2$

$$F_{\text{grav}} \propto 1/R^2$$

together: Newton's **Universal Law of Gravitation**

$$F_{\text{grav}} = G \frac{m_1 m_2}{R^2} \quad (1)$$

where G is just a fixed, constant number, same always:

$$G = 6.7 \times 10^{-11} \frac{\text{m}^3}{\text{kg s}^2} \quad (2)$$

∞

- *Q: how is equation similar/different from list on previous slide?*

Living with Universal Gravity

$$F_{\text{grav}} = G \frac{m_1 m_2}{R^2} \quad (3)$$

- gravity force $F \propto 1/R^2$: *“inverse square law”*
- the force of gravity on an object is the object's *weight*

Q: *why “universal”?*

Q: *would life change for us if Earth's mass doubled? how?*

Q: *would life change for us if Earth's radius was doubled? how?*

Q: *would life change for us if Earth's center hollowed out by bulldozing the material to compact it around center? how? what would the life be like at the hollow center?*

if Earth's radius doubled (while keeping same mass)

⇒ Force on you = your weight would be $1/2^2 = 1/4$ as strong,
i.e., 4 times weaker

why? $F(R) \propto 1/R^2$ for any R

so: compare at $R = R_e$ (normal earth radius) and $R = 2R_e$
(bloated Earth)

proportional means that

$$\frac{F(2R_e)}{F(R_e)} = \frac{1/(2R_e)^2}{1/R_e^2} = \frac{1/(4R_e^2)}{1/R_e^2} = \frac{R_e^2}{4R_e^2} = \frac{1}{4} \quad (4)$$

Gravity and Planet Motion

Newton II: input is force, output is motion

For planets around Sun, force is gravity (*free fall!*)

So: What is motion when $F = F_{\text{grav}} = G \frac{m_{\text{Sun}} m_{\text{planet}}}{R^2}$?

Now just a math problem: *diagram: sun, planet orbit, \vec{v} , \vec{F}*

Newton II + Gravity: properties of predicted orbits

- orbit is ellipse, with sun at one focus
- equal areas in equal times
- $a_{\text{AU}}^3 = P_{\text{yr}}^2$

actually better, more info: $a^3 = GM_{\text{Sun}} P^2 / 4\pi^2$

Q: *why is this better?*

So: *Newton's laws + gravity force → Kepler's laws !*
theory agrees with observation!

Q: effect on planets of Sun's mass doubled?

Q: effect on planets of Sun's size doubled?

Note: only force on planet is gravity: free fall

$$m_{\text{planet}} a_{\text{planet}} = F_{\text{planet}} = G \frac{m_{\text{planet}} M_{\text{Sun}}}{R^2} \quad (5)$$

$$a_{\text{planet}} = G \frac{M_{\text{Sun}}}{R^2} \quad (6)$$

free fall acceleration only depends on Sun mass M_{Sun}
and Sun-planet orbit distance R

→ **independent** of planet mass or size!

→ at same R , all objects accelerate same way

⇒ **equivalence principle pops out of Newton gravity!** Woo hoo!

“Turning the Dials”

- double Sun’s mass → double acceleration → faster orbits
- double Sun’s size → same mass → **no change** in orbits

Testing Newton's Gravity

Moons of Jupiter: orbits obey Kepler's laws

but in Newtons' more general form, use M_{Jupiter}

→ Jupiter's gravity works like Sun's, Earth's!

1830's: Uranus observed orbit did *not* follow predictions
of Newtonian solar system model

the death Newton's gravity?

Remember: have to agree with **all** data, not just some
even one clear failure is enough to kill theory

e.g., Kepler and Mars: just a small discrepancy from circular
but still had to throw out circular orbits

14

maybe...but also: maybe have not included all sources of gravity
maybe gravity from unknown mass causes U's deviations

iClicker Poll: Uranus Discrepancy

1830's Problem: *measured* Uranus orbit *doesn't match* predictions of Newtonian Gravity *theory*

Vote your conscience!

Which seems more likely to you?

- A** Newton's gravity theory *correct*, but not all gravity sources had been included
 - B** Newton's gravity theory *incorrect* (or at least incomplete)
-

Q: *what experiment/observation would tell which is right?*

19th Century Dark Matter?

to save Newton's gravity: need unseen massive object
could have been called "dark matter"!
whose gravity is altering Uranus' motion

based on Uranus' known path, can use Newton's gravity
to predict where the "dark matter" should be!

⇒ Crucial experiment: go look there with telescope!

this was done, in 1846...

Newton Triumphs

planet **Neptune** found at “dark matter” position
discovery *predicted* by Newton’s gravity!

other more recent tests:

Binary Stars: two stars orbiting each other
move in ellipses, obey Kepler’s laws

www: `binary star orbit`

→ Gravity theory works outside solar system

Copernican Revolution

the Earth is a typical planet
one among many that orbit the Sun
not the center of the solar system

...only the first of many such revolutions!

Cosmology: Progress Report after Newton

Recall: Cosmology is a mystery story

Based on evidence we can observe, want to understand:

- structure of Universe: how big? map of contents across space?
- contents of Universe: what ingredients is it made of?
- origin & evolution of U.: birth? past? future?
- rules of the game: what makes the Universe this way?

Cosmological progress so far:

Kepler precisely described planet motion

Newton explained planet motion, agrees with observation!

Lessons from Newtonian Triumph

Lesson from Newtonian success: insight into rules of the game

- powerful laws exist explaining *all* motion due to forces
- planet motion is due to force of gravity
 - ⇒ gravity determines behavior of the cosmos!

Also important implications for structure of Universe

Q: What?

*Hint: what does Copernican picture say about size of U?
and what does this in turn imply?*

recall: to naked eye, stellar parallax is undetectable
stars don't seem to "wiggle" annually on sky

so if parallax exists, wiggle must be small
⇒ stars must be very far away!

But if stars hugely far away, and can still be seen
they must emit a lot of light
→ perhaps stars are like the Sun!

Q: what do we need to know to answer this?