

Astro 406  
Lecture 8  
Sept. 13, 2013

Announcements:

- **PS 2 due now**
- **PS 3 available, due next Friday**
- Guest cosmologist today: Prof. Charles Gammie  
Dept. Chair, and expert on black hole feeding

Last time: exploring the Milky Way

grammatical aside: we live in the **G**alaxy  $\equiv$  Milky Way

...everything else is just a **g**alaxy

- Herschel, Kapteyn: star counts  $\rightarrow$  we are near MW center
- Shapley: globular clusters  $\rightarrow$  we are far from MW center

*Q: how did Shapley show this?*

*Q: how do we reconcile these results?*

# Interstellar Dust

interstellar matter mostly gas, but about 1% of mass is **dust**

www: interplanetary dust

microscopic: size  $\sim 1\mu = 1000nm$

strongly absorbs light with  $\lambda \sim r$

→ visible, some IR blocked– “in fog”

but more transparent when  $\lambda \gg r$  and  $\lambda \ll r$

e.g., far-IR, radio,  $\gamma$ -ray

www: Barnard 68 at different wavelengths

Also note:

absorption → more to picture than meets eye

↷ → scale of MW goes up!

→ Herschel confused by “fog” of dust: we are not at the center!

## Cosmic Revolutions

**Copernican Revolution I:** Copernicus, 17th Century  
we're one typical planet among many  
not center of solar system

**Copernican Revolution II:** Shapley, earth 20th Century  
we're one typical star among many  
not center of Milky Way Galaxy

... stay tuned for more...

# Celestial Sphere: Coordinate Systems

points on the *sky* specified by 2 coordinates on celestial sphere  
points in 3-D space need distance as well

**Equatorial Coordinates:** based on Earth spin  $\vec{S}$   
north celestial pole = direction of  $\vec{S}$   
points: RA =  $\alpha$ , dec =  $\delta$

www: S&G equatorial coordinate diagram

*Q: why is this system useful?*

*Q: why is this system inconvenient for Galactic studies?*

‡ *Q: what would be a better choice?*

equatorial system great for practical observations  
since celestial sphere moves with Earth spin  
but not conveniently aligned relative to Galaxy

**Galactic Coordinates:** cover sky, based on Galactic plane  
angles: Galactic *longitude*  $\ell$ , *latitude*  $b$

www: S&G Galactic coordinate diagram

Galactic center coordinates:  $(\ell, b)_{\text{MW center}} = (0, 0)$

www: Galactic coordinates in projection

*Q: which directions wraparound? which don't?*

N. gal. pole: gal pole in N hemisphere

$\sigma$  *Q:  $(\ell, b)_{\text{N gal pole}} = ?$*

## Galactic cylindrical coords ( “Galactocentric” )

*cylindrical* system  $\vec{r} = (R, \phi, z)$

- coordinate center  $R = 0$  at MW Center  
“Galactocentric distance”
- azimuth origin  $\phi = 0$  towards us
- $z = 0$  in midplane

www: S&G diagram

all points at Sun’s distance:  $R = R_{\odot} \sim 8 \text{ kpc}$

with  $1 \text{ kpc} = 1000 \text{ pc}$

o “solar circle/cylinder”

# Mapping the Milky Way (and Beyond): Data vs Theory

Eternal problem in Astronomy: sky is 2-dimensional  
⇒ sky coords (RA,dec) or  $(\ell, b)$  only have 2 entries  
without distance info, images & maps are “cosmic roadkill”

but real space is 3-dimensional (at least!)

- 3-D objects/systems projected onto plane of sky
- always going from 2-D observation to 3-D model

*Q: how to definitively go between the two?*

*Q: what if you can't—other strategies?*

## Mapping the Milky Way: Gas

detect gas by EM emission and/or absorption

prefer: wavelengths at which galaxy is nearly transparent

→  $\lambda \neq$  visible

**hydrogen** most abundant in the Solar system, other stars

H > 90% of all atoms *by number*,  $\gtrsim$  70% by mass

⇒ expect H most abundant in the interstellar medium

gaseous H can be

- **molecular**: H-H diatomic pairs

requires low  $T$ , high density  $Q$ : *why?*

- **atomic**: single neutral atoms

requires  $T \lesssim 100,000$  K

- **ionized**: free, unbound  $e$ ,  $p$

∞ requires high  $T$  and/or ionizing (UV) photons

*Q: How can we observe each phase?*



# In Search of Interstellar Hydrogen: Atomic Form

D'oh!

*neutral, atomic hydrogen* (H I): usually in ground state  $n = 1$

→ no/few transitions to  $n > 1$

→ no/few optical emission or absorption photons

⇒ optically invisible!

Woo hoo!

H in ground state has 2 spin states: *hyperfine* splitting

$$n = 1 \text{ --- } \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{---} & e \uparrow \quad p \uparrow \\ \text{---} & e \downarrow \quad p \uparrow \end{array} \right\} \Delta E \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta E = 5.9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = hc/\Delta E = \boxed{21 \text{ cm}}, \nu = 1420 \text{ MHz}$$

6

Q: *what kind of light has  $\lambda = 21 \text{ cm}$ ?*

interstellar H atoms collide inelastically  
collision energy drives H  $\rightarrow$  excited state  $e \uparrow \quad p \uparrow$

after  $\sim \text{few} \times 10^6$  yr, de-excite  
“spin-flip” down to ground state  
 $\rightarrow$  emit **21-cm radiation**

21 cm = radio: photons not absorbed by dust!

*Q: what kind of telescope needed to see this signal?*

## iClicker: The Sky at 21 Centimeters

we map the whole sky at 21 cm, i.e., in *neutral hydrogen* and display the results in Galactic coordinates

Vote your conscience!

What will the neutral H sky pattern look like?

- A** H found over all sky, mildly concentrated in midplane
  - B** H very tightly concentrated in midplane
  - C** H in poles only; plane is “zone of avoidance” for H
  - D** none of the above
-

## Neutral Atomic Hydrogen: H I

★ on sky: thin band in Galactic plane  
can see warping at longitudes  $\ell \gtrsim 90^\circ$

★ in 3-D: atomic H in thin layer in disk midplane  
disk has some warping

*Q: where is the H seen in emission out of plane?*