

Astro 596/496 PC
Lecture 25
March 17, 2010

Announcements:

- PS4 due Friday in class

Last time: testing big bang nuke

- theory: light elements after ~ 3 min
each is a function of $\eta \equiv n_{\text{baryon}}/n_{\gamma}$
- observations: abundances extrapolated to zero metallicity
each picks it's own η
- overconstrained system—one parameter, several abundances:
elements *should* agree for some η
but need not – nontrivial test of cosmology!
- *www*: results rough agreement—but what about ${}^7\text{Li}$?
approaches: (1) don't worry too much, look at implications
(1) worry, look at implications

Subcritical Baryons and Two Kinds of Dark Matter

$$0.024 \leq \Omega_B \leq 0.049$$

$$\Omega_B \ll 1$$

baryons do not close the universe!

$$\Omega_B \ll \Omega_{\text{Matter}} \simeq 0.3$$

most of cosmic matter is not made of baryons!

“non-baryonic dark matter”

huge implications for particle physics—more on this to come

Measure known baryons which are directly observable optically

i.e., in *luminous* form (stars, gas): $\rho_{\text{lum}} = (M/L)_* \mathcal{L}_{\text{vis}}$

$$\Omega_{\text{lum}} \simeq 0.0024h^{-1} \sim 0.004 \ll \Omega_B$$

² \Rightarrow most *baryons* dark! **“baryonic dark matter”**

Q: *Where are they?*

Where are the dark baryons?

- **compact objects** (white dwarfs, neutron stars, black holes)

search for *MACHOs*: MAAssive COmpact Halo Objects

via gravitational microlensing

www: lensing diagram, MACHO event

see lensing events towards LMC!

but are they MACHOs or LMC stars? ...probably the latter

- **warm/hot intergalactic medium** (WHIM)

structure formation → infall → shock heat to $T \sim 10^5 - 10^7$ K

note: in galaxy clusters, **most** baryons in

hot “intracluster” gas, **not** galaxies!

www: X-ray cluster

but X-rays from WHIM gas harder to see...

ω recent evidence of diffuse “X-ray forest” (PF5)

www: Chandra spectra

BBN and the CMB: Battle of the Baryons

Until recently:

BBN was the premier means for measuring $\eta \propto \Omega_B$
→ the best cosmic “baryometer”

Now: CMB **independently** measures η

battle of the baryons

compare independent measures of η
test of cosmology!

If agreement: big bang working very well!

$z \sim 10^{10}$ theory & light elements

quantitatively consistent with $z \sim 10^3$ theory & CMB

↳

If disagreement: a pressing problem!

BBN in Light of the CMB

WMAP (Spergel et al 2003, 2006; Komatsu et al 2008!):

$$\Omega_{\text{baryon,CMB}} = 0.0462 \pm 0.0015$$

$$\Rightarrow \eta_{\text{CMB}} = (6.21 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-10}$$

- 2.6% precision!
- independent of BBN!

BBN vs CMB: Testing Cosmology

pillar vs pillar!

www: Schramm plot: η_{BBN} vs η_{CMB}

Concordance!

in more detail:

1. use η_{CMB} as **input** to (Std) BBN theory,
2. compute light elements
3. compare with observations

www: abundance likelihoods (CF0)

- D agreement perfect! ^4He agreement excellent
- ^7Li tension clearer – hot research topic
“lithium problem” could point to new physics!

What's up with ${}^7\text{Li}$?

- observational systematics (e.g., stellar parameters)? Quite possible.
(Melendez & Ramirez 2004; FOV05)
- astrophysical systematics (e.g., depletion)? but what about ${}^6\text{Li}$? and Li dispersion small ($\lesssim 0.2$ dex)...
- BBN calculation systematics: nuke reaction rates? But well-measured, and can use solar neutrinos to test dominant source: ${}^3\text{He}(\alpha, \gamma){}^7\text{Be}$ (CFO04)
- new physics? if so, nature kind—didn't notice till now otherwise, would not have believed hot big bang...

Particle Dark Matter

BBN and Particle Dark Matter

BBN motivates dark matter theory & searches two ways:

Quantitative. $\Omega_B \ll \Omega_m$: must have non-baryonic dark matter
...and lots of it!

Qualitative. BBN success at $t \sim 1$ s \rightarrow early U as physics lab
“The universe is the poor man’s particle accelerator”
– Ya. Zel’dovich

Big implications for—and motivations from—particle physics

Q: what can we say about DM properties generally?

Q: what can we say if DM is in particle form?

lifetime, mass, interactions, quantum #s?

◦ *Q: what known particles are candidates for non-baryonic DM?*

Q: does particle theory offer dark matter candidates?

Elementary Particle Physics and Dark Matter

Dark matter

dark: no/feeble EM, strong interactions

matter: behaves as nonrelativistic material $\rightarrow \rho \propto a^{-3}$, $P \ll \rho c^2$
naturally leads to hypothesis of DM as

Weakly Interacting Massive Particles: **WIMPs**

If DM is swarms of WIMPs, what are their properties?

lifetime: must exist today $t_0 \sim 14$ Gyr

\rightarrow stable or very long-lived

mass: don't know!

only know mass dens $\rho_{m,0}$ today on cosmic, galactic scales

but without also knowing # dens $n_{m,0}$, can't get $m = \rho/n$

\rightarrow in fact, with specific model, from m get n_0

interactions/quantum #s:

BBN: dark matter **not baryonic**

Standard Model of particle physics *does* provide
a candidate for non-baryonic DM

stable + massive: **neutrinos**; can show (PS5):

$$\Omega_\nu h^2 = \frac{\sum_{\text{species}} m_\nu}{92 \text{ eV}} \quad (1)$$

...but can show (oscillation data, large scale structure, WMAP)

$\sum_{\text{species}} m_\nu \lesssim 1 \text{ eV}$: $\Omega_\nu \sim 0.01 < \Omega_B \ll \Omega_m$

ν s are non-baryonic DM, but negligible contribution to density

no other viable Standard Model particle candidates

non-baryonic DM demands physics beyond the Standard Model

particle candidates available “off the shelf”

lightest supersymmetric particle, axion, strangelets...

11

Q: how are WIMPs produced in early U?