

Astro 596/496 PC

Lecture 26

March 19, 2010

Announcements:

- PS4 due
- PF5 out, due Friday after break

Last time: began particle dark matter

- must be massive, long-lived, weakly interacting
- BBN: *most* cosmic matter *not* in baryonic form

$$\Omega_{\text{non-baryonic}} = \Omega_{\text{M}} - \Omega_{\text{B}} \approx 0.25$$

- known (Standard Model) particle inventory:
includes excellent DM candidate

neutrinos: a match made in the heavens?

no! m_{ν} too small: $\Omega_{\nu} < \Omega_{\text{B}} \ll \Omega_{\text{M}}$

★ *dark matter must be something else!*

★ *dark matter demands physics beyond Standard Model!*

Particle Dark Matter: Thermal Relics

Kolb & Turner, Ch. 5; Dodelson Ch. 3.4

Consider stable particle species χ (& antiparticle $\bar{\chi}$)

- nonrelativistic today: $m_\chi \gg T_0 \sim 3 \times 10^{-4}$ meV
- thermally produced in the early universe

What determines its abundance today?

Q: if χ is still in thermal (chemical) eq?

Q: and so?

Relic Particles

for non-relativistic species:

if *still in (chemical) equilibrium*: number density

$$n_{\chi} = g_{\chi} \left(\frac{m_{\chi} T}{2\pi \hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} e^{-(m_{\chi} - \mu_{\chi})/T} \quad (1)$$

chem potential: $\mu \neq 0$ iff conserved particle number

if χ number *not conserved*—i.e., equal numbers of χ and $\bar{\chi}$
then $\mu_{\chi} = 0$, and so $n_{\chi} \sim e^{-m_{\chi}/T} \rightarrow 0$

\Rightarrow no relic particles remain – terrible dark matter candidate!

Lessons: relic dark matter particles should

- either have *particle/antiparticle asymmetry*

this is thought to be origin of baryons

- or must have dropped *out of equilibrium*

Q: how might this happen?

Freezeout and Relic Abundance of a Symmetric Species

a *symmetric* species χ has a cosmic abundance with *equal* numbers of particle and antiparticle
...or particle = antiparticle

thus $n_\chi = n_{\bar{\chi}}$ exactly: no “net χ number”
 \Rightarrow complete annihilation would leave no remaining particles

but: annihilation requires particle interactions!
these must compete successfully with expansion & cooling

in cosmic setting, essentially *gauranteed*
that at some point **annihilations freeze out**:

$$\Gamma(\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow \text{stuff}) < H$$

\Rightarrow nonzero relic χ abundance, mass density also *gauranteed*!

Q: so does this *gaurantee* that χ is the dark matter?

Annihilation Freezeout

Sketch of calculation appears here; more details in extras

Annihilation rate per χ (and $\bar{\chi}$) particle is

$$\Gamma_{\text{ann}}(T) \simeq n_{\chi,\text{eq}}(T) \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim (m_{\chi} T)^{3/2} e^{-m_{\chi}/T} \langle \sigma v \rangle \quad (2)$$

where σ is the annihilation cross section,
and $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ is a thermal average

Freezeout temperature T_f set by

$$H(T_f) \sim \frac{T_f^2}{M_{\text{pl}}} = \Gamma_{\text{ann}}(T_f) \sim (m_{\chi} T_f)^{3/2} e^{-m_{\chi}/T_f} \langle \sigma v \rangle \quad (3)$$

dominated by exponential: $T_f \sim m_{\chi}$
so freezeout χ density is

$$n_{\chi,\text{f}} \simeq \frac{H(T_f = m_{\chi})}{\langle \sigma v \rangle} \sim \frac{m_{\chi}^2}{M_{\text{pl}} \langle \sigma v \rangle} \quad (4)$$

Relic Abundance and Density

relic χ abundance at freezeout $T_f \sim m_\chi$:

$$n_{\chi,f} \simeq \frac{H(T_f = m_\chi)}{\langle \sigma v \rangle} \sim \frac{m_\chi^2}{M_{\text{pl}} \langle \sigma v \rangle} \quad (5)$$

But we want χ abundance and mass density *today*
note that after freeze, χ conserved!

→ $n_\chi = n_{\chi,f} (a_f/a)^3 \propto T^3 \propto n_\gamma$

→ $Y_\chi \equiv n_\chi/n_\gamma$ DM/photon ratio *constant*, set at freeze:

$$Y_\chi = \frac{n_{\chi,f}}{n_{\gamma,f}} \sim \frac{m_\chi^2 / M_{\text{pl}} \langle \sigma v \rangle}{m_\chi^3} \sim \frac{1}{M_{\text{pl}} m_\chi \langle \sigma v \rangle} \quad (6)$$

So present number and mass densities are

$$\circ \quad n_{\chi,0} = Y_\chi n_{\gamma 0} \quad (7)$$

$$\rho_{\chi,0} = m_\chi n_{\chi,0} \sim \frac{1}{M_{\text{pl}} \langle \sigma v \rangle} \quad (8)$$

What have we shown?

if a symmetric stable species ever created

(annihilates but not decays)

then annihilations will freeze, and

inevitably have nonzero relic density today, namely

$$\rho_{\chi,0} = m_{\chi} n_{\chi,0} \sim \frac{1}{M_{\text{pl}} \langle \sigma v \rangle} \quad (9)$$

This calculation is of the highest interest to particle physicists

Q: why?

We have calculated a relic density

Q: Notable aspects about what it does, doesn't depend on?

Q: To what should it be compared?

Cold Relics: Present Abundance

★ $\rho_{\psi,0}$ indep of m_{ψ}

★ $\rho_{\psi,0} \propto 1/\sigma$: the weak prevail!

Q: *what sort of cross section is relevant here?*

★ To get “interesting” present density:

$\Omega_{\psi} \sim 1 \rightarrow \rho_{\psi} \sim \rho_{\text{crit}}$ demands a *specific* cross section

$$\sigma \sim \frac{n_{\gamma,0}}{\Omega_{\psi} M_{\text{p}} \rho_{\text{crit}}} \quad (10)$$

$$\sim 10^{-38} \text{ cm}^2 \quad (11)$$

∞ **scale of the Weak interaction!** [$\sigma_{\text{weak}}(E \sim \text{GeV}) \sim 10^{-38} \text{ cm}^2$]

The WIMP Miracle

Dark Matter candidate:

if DM is a cold symmetric relic

needed *annihilation cross section* is at Weak scale!

corresponding energy: if $\sigma \sim \alpha/E^2$

then $\sigma \sim 10^{-36} \text{ cm}^2 = 10 \text{ pb} \rightarrow E \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$

deeper reason for DM as

Weakly Interacting Massive Particle: **WIMP**

that weak-scale annihilations $\rightarrow \Omega_\chi \sim \Omega_{\text{nbdm}}$: **“WIMP Miracle”**

- *How to find them?*
- *What if we do? What if we don't?*

WIMP Searches: Accelerators

if WIMPs exist in nature

...and especially if they are supersymmetric particles

likely to be found in \sim *few* yrs

at CERN Large Hadron Collider (or maybe even Fermilab)

WWW: CERN, LHC

WWW: FNAL, CDF

SUSY/WIMP discovery would revolutionize particle physics
and all but guarantee dark matter = cold relics

Even if nature is not supersymmetric

many particle theories predict new physics at \sim 1 TeV

Note: even if discover supersymmetry,

maybe not directly see the LSP

but: if dark matter is a WIMP, other ways to find out

Q: namely?

WIMP Searches: Direct Detection

if WIMPs are DM \rightarrow dark halo full of them

local density $\rho = mn \sim 0.3 \text{ GeV cm}^{-3}$

virial velocities $v_0^2 \sim GM_{\text{halo}}/R_{\text{halo}} \sim (400 \text{ km/s})^2$

\Rightarrow WIMP flux $F_{\text{WIMP}} = nv_0$

\Rightarrow Look for *WIMP-nucleus elastic scattering* – challenging!

Search using sensitive detectors: cryogenic, underground

interaction: *WIMP collision \rightarrow nuclear recoil*

measure: effects of recoiling ($E_{\text{kin}} \sim 1 - 100\text{keV}$) nucleus

Q: for example?

WIMP-nucleus recoil signatures

- ▶ *energy injection*: recoil heats detector
crystal specific heat $C = dE/dT \sim T^3$
 $\Delta T = \Delta E/C \propto T^{-3}$
if supercold, can detect ΔT rise
- ▶ *momentum transfer*: detector lattice (phonons) excited
- ▶ *scintillation, ionization*: charged recoil nucleus excites medium
relax via γ, e emission \rightarrow detect these

CDMS Hints at WIMPS?

Cryogenic Dark Matter Experiment www: CDMS
most sensitive direct-detection experiment to date

2010 publication of final results from latest run

- **2 events** seen in signal region!
- ...but expected background is $N_{bg} = 0.9 \pm 0.2$ **events**
 $\simeq 23\%$ chance of an unlucky high background fluctuation

bad news: uncomfortably large chance of false positive!

good news: CDMS & competitors have improved sensitivity
 should know in 1 – 2 year timescale if this was real!
 lay your bets now!

13 that's still not all...

Q: astrophysical means infer WIMP existence and properties?

WIMP Searches: Indirect Detection

if WIMPs are DM \rightarrow Galactic dark halo full of them
but Galactic halo density \gg cosmic mean
 \rightarrow annihilation rate $q \propto \langle\sigma v\rangle\rho_{\text{wimp}}^2$ can be large
 \rightarrow annihilation products potentially observable

Local annihilations

Q: how see if $\psi\bar{\psi}\rightarrow\gamma\gamma$ only?

Q: how see if $\psi\bar{\psi}\rightarrow$ other Standard Model particles?

e.g., $\psi\bar{\psi}\rightarrow e^+e^-$ or $q\bar{q}$?

Galactic center annihilations

Q: how see if $\psi\bar{\psi}\rightarrow\gamma\gamma$ only?

14 Q: how see if $\psi\bar{\psi}\rightarrow$ other Standard Model particles?

e.g., $\psi\bar{\psi}\rightarrow e^+e^-$ or $q\bar{q}$?

Indirect Detection: Local Annihilation Signatures

if $\psi\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ only: line emission $E_\gamma \sim m_\psi$

\Rightarrow local contribution to diffuse γ signature

but: two-photon annihilation $\psi\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ must be *suppressed*

else χ has direct EM coupling \rightarrow electric charge \rightarrow DM not dark!

but *can and often do* have things like $\psi\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \pi's \rightarrow \gamma's$

if $\psi\bar{\psi} \rightarrow q\bar{q}$: hadronize, sometimes to nucleons $N\bar{N}$

source of \bar{n}, \bar{p} , and $\bar{d} = \boxed{\bar{n}\bar{p}}$

\Rightarrow can look for these in cosmic rays!

but “foreground”: “normal” antimatter

from cosmic ray propagation

e.g., $p_{cr} + p_{ism} \rightarrow ppp\bar{p}$

if $\psi\bar{\psi} \rightarrow e^+e^-$: local source of high-energy e^+

Indirect Detection: Galactic Center Annihilation

Galactic center is ρ_{DM} peak \rightarrow annihilation goldmine!?!

Direct Photon Production

- ★ $\psi\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ line: $E_\gamma = m_\psi$, and
- ★ $\psi\bar{\psi} \rightarrow q\bar{q} \rightarrow \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ continuum $E_\gamma < m_\psi$

Galactic center seen in GeV range (1990's: EGRET)
with poorly understood "GeV excess" !?!

But new γ -ray observatory [www](#): Fermi
launched 2008, finds no GeV excess

Galactic center seen in TeV range

¹⁶ [www](#): HESS

but point source too localized(?), energy spectrum a power-law

Dark Matter: Where Do We stand?

Obviously, no clear detections thus far

Current status:

accelerator and astrophysical constraints are:

competitive: both place strong constraints
on particle models for WIMPS

complementary: different methods strong in different parts
of parameter space

Upgrades coming soon on all fronts

→ the race is on!

→ an answer will emerge in the non-distant future!

If confirmed WIMP detection:

- DM found
- need particle physics beyond Standard Model
- ★ payoff big!

If no WIMP signature

- SUSY much less attractive
- dark matter not a cold relic → what is it?
an asymmetric relic? but why asymmetrical?
modified gravity?
hidden in braneworld?

Director's Cut Extras

Freezeout and Relic Abundance of a Symmetric Species

for *conserved* species ψ (chem. pot. $\mu_\psi \neq 0$)

constant comoving number: $d(na^3) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{n}_\psi + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} n_\psi = 0$$

for *non-conserved* species: $d(n_\psi a^3) = qa^3 dt \neq 0$, where

$q = \text{source/sink rate} = \text{creation/destruction rate per unit vol}$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{n}_\psi + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} n_\psi = q$$

assume annihilation $\psi\bar{\psi} \rightarrow X\bar{X}$ product X thermal,

with chem. pot. $\mu_X \ll T \Rightarrow n_X = n_{\bar{X}}$

$$q = q_{\text{net}} = q_{\text{prod}} - q_{\text{ann}} \tag{12}$$

$$= \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{prod}} n_X n_{\bar{X}} - \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{ann}} n_\psi n_{\bar{\psi}} \tag{13}$$

$$= \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{prod}} n_X^2 - \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{ann}} n_\psi^2 \tag{14}$$

in equilib, Q : what condition holds for q ?

chem equilb: $q = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{q_{\text{prod}} = q_{\text{ann}}}$
 so in general

$$\dot{n}_\psi + 3Hn_\psi = q = -\langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{ann}} \left[n_\psi^2 - (n_\psi^{\text{eq}})^2 \right] \quad (15)$$

and a similar expression for $\bar{\psi}$

Change variables:

(1) use **comoving** coords:

photon density $n_\gamma \propto T^3 \propto a^{-3}$,

so put $Y = n_\psi/n_\gamma$ to remove volume dilution

then $\dot{n}_\psi + 3\dot{a}/a n_\psi = n_\gamma \dot{Y}$

(2) put $x = m_\psi/T \propto a$

since $t \propto 1/T^2 \propto x^2$,

$dY/dt = dY/dx \dot{x} = H x dY/dx$

Then:

$$Hx \frac{dY}{dx} = -n_\gamma \langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{ann}} (Y^2 - Y_{\text{eq}}^2) \quad (16)$$

$$(17)$$

finally

$$\frac{x}{Y_{\text{eq}}} \frac{dY}{dx} = -\frac{\Gamma_A}{H} \left[\left(\frac{Y}{Y_{\text{eq}}} \right)^2 - 1 \right] \quad (18)$$

where $\Gamma_A = n_{\psi}^{\text{eq}} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{ann}}$: annihil. rate

So: change in comoving ψ controlled by

(1) annihil. effectiveness Γ/H

(2) deviation from equil

when $\Gamma/H \gg 1$

Q: what if $Y < Y_{\text{eq}}$? $Y > Y_{\text{eq}}$?

when $\Gamma/H < 1$

Q: how does Y change?

22

Q: how you you expect Y to evolve?

when $\Gamma/H \gg 1$, Y driven to Y_{eq}

when $\Gamma/H < 1$, Y change is small \rightarrow freezeout!

relic abundance at $T \rightarrow 0$ or $x \rightarrow \infty$ is

$Y_{\infty} \simeq Y_{\text{eq}}(x_f)$: value at freezeout

Step back:

How can a symmetric species have

$n_{\psi} = n_{\bar{\psi}} \neq 0$ at $T \ll m$?

physically: expansion is key
if $H = 0$, $Y_\infty = Y_{\text{eq}}(\infty) = 0$:
→ all ψ find $\bar{\psi}$ partner, annihilate
but $H \neq 0$: when U dilute enough,
 ψ never finds $\bar{\psi}$: i.e., $\Gamma \ll H$
nonzero relic abundance

hot relics: $x_f \ll 1$ ($T_f \gg m$)

cold relics: $x_f \gg 1$

Note: hot/cold *relics* refers to freezeout conditions

But: hot/cold *dark matter* refers to structure formation criteria
(namely, m vs temp T_{eq} at matter-rad equality)

Cold Relics: WIMPs

cold relic: non-relativistic at freezeout

$$\text{so } x_f = m/T_f \gg 1 \rightarrow T_f \ll m$$

$$\Rightarrow n_{\text{eq}} \sim e^{-m/T} (mT)^{3/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow Y_{\text{eq}} \sim e^{-x} x^{3/2}$$

Freezeout:

$$\Gamma_{\text{ann}} = H \text{ at } T = T_f$$

$$\Rightarrow n_{\text{eq}} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{ann}} \sim \sqrt{G} T^2$$

what needed to find value of T_f ?

To solve:

- need annihilation cross section
for many models, $\langle\sigma v\rangle \propto v^n$ (S -wave: $n = 0$)
 $\Rightarrow \langle\sigma v\rangle(x) = \sigma_1 c x^{n/2}$, where $\sigma_1 = \sigma(E = m)$
- convenient rewrite $1/\sqrt{G} = M_{\text{Pl}} \simeq 10^{19}$ GeV
(Planck Mass)

set $\Gamma_{\text{ann}}(T_f) = H(T_f)$, and solve for T_f

Find: $x_f \sim \ln(m M_{\text{Pl}} \sigma_1) \Rightarrow T_f = m/x_f$

So

$$Y_\infty \simeq Y_{\text{eq}}(x_f) \tag{19}$$

$$\sim \frac{x_f^{3/2}}{m M_{\text{Pl}} \sigma_1} \tag{20}$$

→ present relic number density

$$n_{\psi,0} = Y_{\infty} n_{\gamma,0} = 400 Y_{\infty} \text{ cm}^{-3} \quad (21)$$

present relic mass density

$$\rho_{\psi,0} = m n_{\psi,0} \simeq \frac{x_f^{3/2} n_{\gamma,0}}{M_{\text{Pl}} \sigma_1} \quad (22)$$

What have we shown?

if a symmetric stable species ever created
(annihilates but not decays)

then annihilations will freeze, and

inevitably have nonzero relic density today.

This calculation is of the highest interest to particle physicists

Q: *why?*

We have calculated a relic density

Q: *To what should this be compared?*