

Astro 596/496 PC

Lecture 6

Feb 1, 2010

Announcements:

- PS1 due Friday
- Physics Colloquium this week: S. James Gates, Jr. (UMD)
- “Is Physical Reality a Matrix?”
supersymmetry, string theory, information theory

Last time:

Friedmann equations, simple solutions

Ω and cosmic fate/curvature

Q: What's Ω ? What's “critical” about ρ_{crit} ?

⌊ Today:

expand cosmic inventory, examine implications

To Be or Not to Be Relativistic

for a particle (“species”) of mass m

relativistic status set by comparison: typical v vs c

equivalent to comparing: typical E_{kin} vs mc^2

but if thermal, $E_{\text{kin}} \sim kT$

→ relativistic: $kT \gg mc^2$ → non-relativistic: $kT \ll mc^2$

massless particles

if $m = 0$: always have $v = c$ → forever relativistic

e.g., photons! also gravitons (if they exist...)

massive particles

if $m > 0$: *always* a time in Early U when $kT \gg mc^2$

→ massive particles born relativistic, become non-rel!

→ relativistic status is time-dependent!

2

Q: *are there species which are always relativistic? non?*

Q: *what is relativistic, non-rel today?*

Today: $kT_{\text{CMB},0} \sim 10^{-4}$ eV

always: photons relativistic

clearly: $m_e c^2, m_p c^2 \gg kT_0 \rightarrow$ non-relativistic today!

but *were* relativistic in early U

but what about neutrinos?

we know: 3 massive species exist

do not (yet!) know mass of any species

but we *do* know a laboratory-based *lower limit*:

heaviest neutrino must have $m_\nu > 0.04$ eV

\rightarrow at least one ν species non-relativistic today!

\rightarrow contributes to Ω_{matter}

Redshifts I

quick-n-dirty: **wavelengths are lengths!** ..it's right there in the name!

→ expansion stretches photon $\lambda \Rightarrow \lambda \propto a$

if emit photon at t_{em} , then at later times

$$\lambda(t) = \lambda_{emit} \frac{a(t)}{a(t_{em})} \quad (1)$$

if observe later, $\lambda_{obs} = \lambda_{em} a_{obs}/a_{em}$

measure redshift today:

$$z = \frac{\lambda_{obs} - \lambda_{em}}{\lambda_{em}} = \frac{1 - a_{em}}{a_{em}} \Rightarrow a_{em} = a(z) = \frac{1}{1 + z}$$

Newtonian Derivation of Redshift: Hubble & Doppler

slower-n-cleaner: non-relativistic Doppler

non-rel Doppler sez:

$$\frac{\delta\lambda}{\lambda} \equiv z = \frac{v}{c} \quad (2)$$

Hubble sez:

$$cz = Hr \quad (3)$$

Together

$$\frac{\delta\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{Hr}{c} \quad (4)$$

But light travels distance r in time $\delta t = r/c$, so

$$\frac{\delta\lambda}{\lambda} = H\delta t = \frac{\dot{a}\delta t}{a} = \frac{\delta a}{a} \quad (5)$$

for arriving light, fractional λ change = fractional a change!

Scale Factor and Redshift

$$a = \frac{1}{1+z}$$
$$z = \frac{1}{a} - 1$$

most distant quasar: $z = 6.4$

www: SDSS QSO recordholder

most distant gamma-ray burst: $z \approx 8.2!$

www: GRB recordholder

When GRB exploded:

→ scale factor was $a = 1/(1 + 8.2) = 0.11$

interparticle (intergalactic) distances 11% of today!

→ galaxies were $1 + z = 9.2$ times closer

○ squeezed into volumes $(9.2)^3 = 780$ times smaller!

→ age at $z = 8.2$: concordance Λ CDM gives 650 Myr

Redshifts and Photon Energies

in photon picture of light: $E_\gamma = hc/\lambda$

so in cosmological context photons have

$$E_\gamma \propto \frac{1}{a} \quad (6)$$

→ γ energy redshifts

Consequences:

▷ Q: *photon energy density* $\varepsilon(a)$?

▷ if thermal radiation,

Q: $T \leftrightarrow \lambda$ connection?

↳ Q: *expansion effect on T*?

Relativistic Species

Photon energy density: $\varepsilon = E_\gamma n_\gamma$

avg photon energy: $E_\gamma \propto a^{-1}$

photon number density: conserved $n_\gamma \propto a^{-3}$ (if no emission/absorption)

$\Rightarrow \varepsilon_\gamma \propto a^{-4}$

Thermal (blackbody) radiation:

Wien's law: $T \propto 1/\lambda_{\max}$

but since $\lambda \propto a \rightarrow$ then $T \propto 1/a$

Consequences:

- $\varepsilon \propto T^4$: Boltzmann/Planck!

- T decreases \rightarrow U cools!

today: CMB $T_0 = 2.725 \pm 0.001$ K

distant but “garden variety” quasar: $z = 3$

“feels” $T = 8$ K (effect observed!)

Radiation and Friedmann

definition: to cosmologist, **radiation** \equiv *relativistic* matter
photons or *any* particle with $v \sim c$, $E \sim T \gg mc^2$

energy density $\epsilon_{\text{rad}} \propto a^{-4}$

equivalent gravitational mass density: $\epsilon = \rho c^2 \rightarrow \rho_{\text{rad}} \propto a^{-4}$

Add radiation to Friedmann:

$$\rho = \rho_{\text{total}} = \rho_{\text{m}} + \rho_{\text{rad}} = \rho_0(\Omega_{\text{m},0} a^{-3} + \Omega_{\text{r},0} a^{-4})$$

note: today, $\Omega_{\text{r},0} = 4.15 \times 10^{-5} h^{-2} \ll 1$

Also: Maxwell says pressure $P_{\text{EM}} = \epsilon_{\text{EM}}/3$

- include this in Friedmann acceleration
- put $V = a^3$, so $\epsilon \propto V^{-4/3}$, and

◦
$$d(\epsilon_{\text{rad}} V) = -1/3 \epsilon dV = -p_{\text{rad}} dV$$

Q: *physical interpretation?*

1st Law and Equation of State

Generalize: Cosmological “1st Law of Thermodynamics”

$$d(\rho c^2 a^3) = -p d(a^3) \quad (7)$$

GR verifies this is correct!

⇒ reconciles Friedmann energy, accel eqs:

ensures that $\ddot{a} = d\dot{a}/dt$ (try it!)

to solve, need to relate p to $\rho c^2 \rightarrow$ **equation of state**

• non-rel matter: $p_m \ll \rho_m c^2 \approx 0$ Q: why? e.g., ideal gas?

• radiation: $p_{\text{rad}} = \rho_{\text{rad}} c^2 / 3$

• generalize: $p = w \rho c^2$ defines “state parameter” w

Q: $w_{\text{matter}}?$, $w_{\text{rad}}?$

Can solve 1st Law eq for matter with **constant** w :

$$\rho_w \propto a^{-3(1+w)} \quad (8)$$

Q: what if $w = 0, +1/3, -1$?

Cosmological Constant

Consider substance (“ Λ ”) with $w = -1$

- $p_\Lambda = -\rho_\Lambda c^2 < 0$!?
negative pressure !?!
- $\rho_\Lambda \propto a^0 = \text{const}$
constant energy density (and pressure) !?!
i.e., expansion does not change ρ_Λ, p_Λ !

Einstein (1917) “**cosmological constant**” Λ

Cosmodynamics in a Minimally Realistic(?) Universe

For sure, the universe contains:

- Matter Q : *evidence?*

$$\rho_m \propto a^{-3}$$

- Radiation Q : *evidence?*

$$\rho_r \propto a^{-4}$$

Quite possibly, the universe could contain:

- Curvature

$$\text{curvature term} \propto a^{-2}$$

- Cosmo Const (or worse!)

$$\rho_\Lambda \propto a^0 = \text{const}$$

So: “minimal” but also “realistic” account of U must include these pieces:

12

$$\rho = \rho_{\text{tot}} = \sum_i \rho_i \quad (9)$$

then Friedmann sez:

$$\begin{aligned} H^2 &= \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} (\rho_{r,0} a^{-4} + \rho_{m,0} a^{-3} + \rho_{\Lambda}) - \frac{\kappa c^2}{R^2} a^{-2} \\ &= H_0^2 [\Omega_r a^{-4} + \Omega_m a^{-3} + \Omega_{\Lambda} + (1 - \Omega_{\text{tot}}) a^{-2}] \end{aligned}$$

Limiting cases: one term \gg all others

- radiation-dominated: $\rho_{\text{tot}} \approx \rho_r \gg \rho_{\text{other}} \Rightarrow a \sim t^{1/2}$
- matter-dominated: $a \sim t^{2/3}$
- curvature-dominated ($\kappa = -1$; Q: why?): $a \propto t^1$
- Λ -dominated: $a \propto e^{+H_{\Lambda} t}$

The Cosmic Past

Friedmann sez

$$\begin{aligned} H^2 &= \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} (\rho_r + \rho_m + \rho_\Lambda) - \frac{\kappa c^2}{R^2} a^{-2} \\ &= \frac{8\pi G}{3} (\rho_{r,0} a^{-4} + \rho_{m,0} a^{-3} + \rho_\Lambda) - \frac{\kappa c^2}{R^2} a^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

Plot: H^2 vs a

Mix-n-match:

Q: *evolution if only matter & rad? Ω ?*

Q: *... if matter, rad, and curv(\pm)? Ω ?*

Q: *... if matter, rad, and Λ ? Ω ?*

Q: *... if matter, rad, curv, and Λ ? Ω ?*

Menu at Al Friedmann's Cosmo Café

Possible Histories of the Universe

Matter + Radiation only: ($\Omega = 1$)

rad-dom \rightarrow matter-dom; expand forever

Matter + Radiation + Curvature(-): ($\Omega < 1$)

RD \rightarrow MD \rightarrow CD; expand forever

Matter + Radiation + Curvature(+): ($\Omega > 1$)

RD \rightarrow MD \rightarrow CD \rightarrow reverse; recollapse

Matter + Radiation + Λ : ($\Omega = 1$)

RD \rightarrow MD \rightarrow Λ D: expand forever *exponentially!*

15 Matter + Radiation + Λ + curv: ($\Omega \neq 1$)

many possibilities! fate depends on detailed composition

Radiation and the Early Universe

note: radiation *always wins out* at early times

⇒ Early U is radiation-dominated

Q: *why?*

later evolution depends on cosmic ingredients
and their relative amounts

Density and Destiny

Fate (and geometry) of U. depend on current values of $\Omega_{i,0} = \rho_{i,0}/\rho_{\text{crit},0}$ and $\Omega_0 = \sum \Omega_i$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{\text{crit},0} &= 3H_0^2/8\pi G \\ &= 1.9 \times 10^{-29} h^2 \text{ g/cm}^{-3} \approx 10^{-29} \text{ g/cm}^{-3} \\ &= 2.78 \times 10^{11} h^2 M_\odot \text{ Mpc}^{-3} \approx 1.4 \times 10^{11} M_\odot \text{ Mpc}^{-3} \\ &\approx 6 \text{ H atoms m}^{-3}\end{aligned}$$

Empirical question:

- is $\rho_{\text{tot},0}$ bigger or smaller than this number?
- *density is destiny! weight is fate!*

Cosmic Fate & Geometry: Theory Prejudice

Consider a universe with $\Omega \neq 1$

Friedmann says

$$\Omega - 1 = \frac{\kappa c^2}{R^2 a^2 H^2} = \frac{\kappa c^2}{R^2 \dot{a}^2} \propto \frac{1}{\dot{a}^2} \quad (10)$$

i.e., Ω changes with time

Q: *is $|\Omega - 1|$ increasing or decreasing?*

Q: *limiting values of Ω at large t ?*

Q: *physical interpretation of these limits?*

Q: *timescale for Ω to change?*

18 Q: *implications for Ω_0 ?*

Time change of $|\Omega - 1| \propto 1/\dot{a}^2$ is

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\Omega - 1| \propto \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{\dot{a}^2} = -\frac{\ddot{a}}{\dot{a}^3} \quad (11)$$

Two possibilities

- if $\ddot{a} > 0$: expansion of U *accelerating*
then $|\Omega - 1|$ *decreasing* with time
→ evolution drives $\Omega \rightarrow 1$

but recall Friedmann acceleration: $\ddot{a}/a \propto -(\rho + 3P)$

matter, radiation lead to *deceleration*

i.e., all known cosmic ingredients have attractive gravity...

- if $\ddot{a} < 0$: ordinary attractive gravity, *decelerating* U
then $|\Omega - 1|$ *increasing* with time
→ Ω driven increasingly away from 1