

Astro 596/496 PC

Lecture 7

Feb. 3, 2010

Announcements:

- PS1 due Friday
- Physics Colloquium tomorrow: S. James Gates, Jr. (UMD)
“Is Physical Reality a Matrix?”
- High-Energy Seminar next Monday: Dan Bauer (Fermilab)
“Recent Results from CDMS” – dark matter hint?!

Last time:

minimal ingredients to a realistic cosmology: $\sum \rho_i = \dots?$

cosmic history, fate depends qualitatively and quantitatively
on values of Ω_i

Key question: *What is Ω_0 ?*

- $\rho_{\text{crit},0} = 3H_0^2/8\pi G = 1.4 \times 10^{11} M_\odot \text{Mpc}^{-3}$
- if we can measure ρ_0 , we know cosmic curvature, fate!

The Evolution of Ω

Time change of $|\Omega - 1| \propto 1/\dot{a}^2$ is

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega - 1|} \frac{d}{dt} |\Omega - 1| = \dot{a}^2 \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{\dot{a}^2} \quad (1)$$

$$= -2 \frac{\ddot{a}/a}{H^2} H = 2 q H \quad (2)$$

where *acceleration parameter* $q = -(\ddot{a}/a)/H^2$

Q: why sign choice in q definition?

- generally, $|q| \sim 0.1 - 10$, so
 $|\Omega - 1|$ changes on timescale $1/2|q|H \sim 1/H = t_H \sim t$

- if $\ddot{a} < 0$: ordinary *attractive* gravity, *decelerating* U
then $|\Omega - 1|$ *increasing* with time
 $\rightarrow \Omega$ driven increasingly away from 1

Q: unless...?

What is Ω_0 ?

Procedure 0: Pure Theory

$\Omega = \rho/\rho_{\text{crit}} \sim \rho(t)/H^2(t)$ evolves

but if **ever** $\Omega = 1$, stays 1 **always**

else: $\Omega \rightarrow 0$ or ∞

physically: expand forever or recollapse

occurs on cosmic timescale t : current age

$\Omega = 1$ is **only stable value**

do the experiment: look around room

$\Omega \neq 0, \infty \rightarrow \Omega = 1$!

else conspiracy: we live just when $\Omega \sim 1$

ω “Dicke coincidence”

What is Ω_0 ?

Procedure I: Galaxy Surveys

Goal: measure $\Omega_0 \rightarrow \rho_0$

Q: Why can't we use $\rho_{\text{this room}}$?

Q: What is needed?

Q: What do galaxy surveys actually measure?

Q: How can we bridge the gap?

Cosmic Density Measurement Procedure I: Mass-to-Light Ratios

Seems simple...

1. find **fair sample** of U., with some volume V
2. if measure total mass M , $\rightarrow \rho = M/V$

...but telescopes don't measure mass, rather: *luminosity* L

1. find cosmic **luminosity density** $\mathcal{L} = L/V$
2. then find cosmic ratio of mass to luminosity:
“mass-to-light” ratio $M/L \equiv \Upsilon$
3. solve for mass density $\rho = \Upsilon \mathcal{L}$

Galaxy surveys: $\mathcal{L}_{\text{obs}} \sim 2 \times 10^8 h L_{\odot} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}$

...which you will verify in PS1!

₅₁ Need “**fair sample**” of mass-to-light ratio Υ

Q: how to measure this?

cosmic mass/light sample: galaxies including dark halos

flat rotation curves $v(r) \sim \text{const}$

www: rotation curve

Newtonian gravity, dynamics apply:

circular motion: $v^2/r \sim g \sim GM_{\text{enclosed}}(r)/r^2$

Q: *expected behavior for $r >$ visible matter?*

Instead: find $v \approx \text{const}$ well beyond visible matter

“flat rotation curves”

$\Rightarrow M(r) \sim v^2 r / G \sim r$ for $r \gg r_{\text{vis}}$!

dark halo! typically $M_{\text{halo}} \sim 5 - 10 M_{\text{vis}}$

summing observed light, total dynamical mass:

$$\Upsilon_{\text{halo}} \lesssim 25 h M_{\odot} / L_{\odot} \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{halo}} \lesssim 0.02 \ll 1$$

o Q: *implications? what if this is a fair sample?*

Q: *why would/wouldn't it be?*

cosmic mass/light sample: galaxy clusters

can find cluster M_{tot} from several methods

e.g., γ_{cluster} : cluster gravitational lens

$$\gamma_{\text{cluster}} \sim 300h \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{cluster}} \sim 0.25h^{-1} \sim 0.3$$

Note: since $\gamma_{\text{cluster}} > \gamma_{\text{halos}}$

→ immediately conclude that halos are not fair sample

→ i.e., halos miss extra dark matter on larger scales

→ hints for galaxy formation...

...but clusters have $\delta\rho/\rho_0 \sim 1$

→ largest bound objects

→ should be fair sample:

⇒ $\Omega_{\text{matter}} \sim 0.3$ (including DM!)

Cosmic Density Measurement Procedure II:

Microwave background anisotropies

sensitive to cosmic geometry

www: WMAP 2010 results, 7-yr data + other observations

$$\Omega_{\kappa} \equiv 1 - \Omega_0 = -0.0023 \pm 0.0055$$

$$\Omega_0 = 1.0023 \pm 0.0055! \Rightarrow \Omega_0 = 1 \text{ to } \sim 0.2\% \text{ level!!}$$

\Rightarrow flat universe! theory prejudice correct!

but: $\Omega_{\text{matter}} \approx 0.27$ (including DM!)

$\rightarrow \Omega_{\text{other}} = 0.73?!?$

$\Lambda?$ “dark energy” ?!?

Beyond Newton

Thus far: Newtonian cosmology

- develops intuition
- correct over small ($\ll d_H$) scales

Shortcomings:

- some features “pulled of out a hat”
e.g., curvature scale R
presence, coefficient of pressure
- Newtonian physics is incomplete (=wrong!)
 \Rightarrow the universe is relativistic!

General Relativity

Relativity for the Impatient Cosmologist

For General Relativity newcomers, we will:

- sketch how GR generalizes special relativity
- sketch basic concepts of GR
- qualitatively discuss similarities, differences with special relativity, Newtonian Gravity
- No substitute for a real, rigorous, in-depth course: *take General Relativity!*

For everyone, we will:

- show how cosmo principle strongly constrains possible cosmic spacetimes
- semi-derive the cosmic (FLRW) metric
- use this to probe lifestyles in an expanding universe

II

For General Relativity veterans, we will:

- sketch how Einstein equations \rightarrow Friedmann eqs

Spacetime

see S. Carroll, *Spacetime and Geometry*; R. Geroch, *General Relativity from A to B*

evolving view of space, time, and motion:

Aristotle → Galileo → Einstein

Key basic concept: **event**

occurrence localized in space and time

e.g., firecracker, finger snap

idealized → no spatial extent, no duration in time

a goal (*the* goal?) of physics:

describe relationships among events

12

Q: consider collection of all possible events—what's included?