Astronomy 150: Killer Skies Lecture 7, February 1

Last time: Asteroids and Comets

Today: Pluto; Origin of the Solar System

Assignments:

- HW 2 due Friday at 1pm
 - Office hours after class today
- Planetarium shows this week and next; info and reservations on class website



http://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap010319.html



http://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap011125.html

Recall--two comet groups: Kuiper belt, Oort cloud

Q: how are these different?

Recall--two comet groups: Kuiper belt, Oort cloud

Q: how are these different?

Kuiper Belt

short periods < 200 years</p>

can see repeatedly over written history, predict return visits

- distances 30-100 AU = just beyond Neptune
- orbits directions mostly same as planets, but eccentricities larger (ellipses more elongated)
- orbit planes mostly near Earth-Sun orbit plane (Eclptic) though somewhat tilted



Recall--two comet groups: Kuiper belt, Oort cloud

Q: how are these different?

Kuiper Belt

short periods < 200 years</p>

can see repeatedly over written history, predict return visits

- distances 30-100 AU = just beyond Neptune
- orbits directions mostly same as planets, but eccentricities larger (ellipses more elongated)
- orbit planes mostly near Earth-Sun orbit plane (Eclptic) though somewhat tilted

Oort Cloud

- long periods up to million years no record of last visits, cannot predict new visits
- distances up to 100,000 AU: edge of Solar System!
- orbit directions and planes randomly oriented



Recall--two comet groups: Kuiper belt, Oort cloud

Q: how are these different?

Kuiper Belt

short periods < 200 years</p>

can see repeatedly over written history, predict return visits

- distances 30-100 AU = just beyond Neptune
- orbits directions mostly same as planets, but eccentricities larger (ellipses more elongated)
- orbit planes mostly near Earth-Sun orbit plane (Eclptic) though somewhat tilted

Oort Cloud

- long periods up to million years no record of last visits, cannot predict new visits
- distances up to 100,000 AU: edge of Solar System!
- orbit directions and planes randomly oriented

We will want to understand these differences!

Also note: until 20 years ago, both Kuiper Belt and Oort Cloud hypothetical--guessed they exist to supply the comets that visit the inner solar system



Kuiper Belt Discovery

first object detected in orbit at location of Kuiper belt in 1992, beyond Neptune

- KB objects also called Trans-Neptunian objects
- today, tally of KB objects is hundreds
- typically small iceballs,
 <10% size of Pluto
- estimates: 70,000 KBO's total mass ~ 0.1M_{Earth}



Discovery Image http://www2.ess.ucla.edu/~jewitt/images/qb1.gif

Best images of Pluto circa 1978

Pluto

Pluto's Orbit:

- a = 39.5 AU so P = 285 yr!
- eccentricity e = 0.25

larger than all planets

- **Composition:**
- surface icy, rocky
- **Shape:** spherical

Q: notice anything funny in these images?

Pluto has moons!

- Largest: Charon
 - more discovered in recent years

Note Pluto's similarity to comet nucleus, Kuiper belt objects



Pluto

Pluto's Orbit:

- a = 39.5 AU so P = 285 yr!
- eccentricity e = 0.25

larger than all planets

- **Composition:**
- surface icy, rocky
- **Shape:** spherical

Q: notice anything funny in these images?

Pluto has moons!

Largest: Charon

more discovered in recent years

Note Pluto's similarity to comet nucleus, Kuiper belt objects





Hubble Telescope, 1994

Pluto

Pluto's Orbit:

- a = 39.5 AU so P = 285 yr!
- eccentricity e = 0.25

larger than all planets

- **Composition:**
- surface icy, rocky
- **Shape:** spherical

Q: notice anything funny in these images? **Pluto has moons!**

Largest: Charon

more discovered in recent years

Note Pluto's similarity to comet nucleus, Kuiper belt objects

Best images of Pluto circa 1978





Hubble Telescope, 1994





Pluto: History and Status

Clyde Tombaugh -- born in Streator IL!
1930: Pluto discovered in sky scan
totally unlike its neighbors: Uranus, Neptune
1930's-1950's: Kuiper belt idea proposed
1990's: Kuiper belt objects discovered
2002–present: more large outer solar
system objects

- Quaoar ("Kwawar") ≈ 60% Pluto size
- Sedna ≈ 70% Pluto size
- Yena" → Eris: more massive, and maybe larger than Pluto!!
- all these are spherical rocky iceballs

largest of huge population of objects beyond Neptune

- orbits more elliptical than planets, but still near ecliptic
- "transneptunian objects" or Kuiper belt objects (KBOs)
- smaller Kuiper belt members sometimes scatterer → comets



Pluto: mapped by Hubble



iClicker Poll: Pluto: Planet or Plan-not?

- 2006: International Astronomical Union redefines "planet"
- Pluto demoted to "dwarf planet"
 - along with Ceres (asteroid belt),
 - and KBO's Eris + 2 others
- Vote your conscience!
- Is Pluto a full-fledged, non-dwarf planet?
- A. No way! Good riddance! And I've got my eye on you, Neptune!
- B. Umm, probably not?
- C. Umm, probably so?
- D. Yes way! Pluto was robbed! Long live Pluto!

Where are These Rocks From?

Asteroids Meteoroids

Comets

... yes, but why are they so old and where are they from? step back, look at the bigger picture



Our Solar System



Sizes to scale, distances NOT to scale

Wednesday, February 1, 2012

What features of our solar system provide clues to how it formed?

- 1. Patterns of Motion
- 2. Two Types of Planets
- 3. Asteroids and Comets

Clue 1. Patterns of Motion

Kepler I: every object moves around Sun in ellipse: every orbit lies in a plane Earth orbit plane: "Ecliptic" View looking down from above the Sun







Asteroid and Comet Orbits





Clue 2. Two Types of Planets



Terrestrial Planets

Jovian Planets

Smaller size and mass Higher density Made mostly of rock and metal

Solid surface Few (if any) moons and no rings Closer to the Sun (and closer together), with warmer surfaces Larger size and mass Lower density Made mostly of hydrogen, helium, and hydrogen compounds No solid surface Rings and many moons

Farther from the Sun (and farther apart), with cool temperatures at cloud tops

The Terrestrials: Earth-Like



Sizes to scale Distances are not!

The Jovians: Jupiter-Like



Clue 3. Debris: Asteroids and Comets

Two types of small solar system bodies

- Rocky asteroids between Mars & Jupiter
- Icy objects in vicinity of Neptune and beyond
 a few visit us as comets
- Far outnumber the planets and their moons
- But they are NOT most of the mass





Earth:

oldest rocks are 4.4 billion yrs

Earth:

oldest rocks are 4.4 billion yrs

Moon:

oldest rocks are 4.5 billion yrs

Earth:

oldest rocks are 4.4 billion yrs

Moon:

oldest rocks are 4.5 billion yrs

Mars:

oldest rocks are 4.5 billion yrs

Earth:

oldest rocks are 4.4 billion yrs

Moon:

oldest rocks are 4.5 billion yrs

Mars:

oldest rocks are 4.5 billion yrs

Meteorites:

oldest are 4.6 billion yrs

Earth:

oldest rocks are 4.4 billion yrs

Moon:

oldest rocks are 4.5 billion yrs

Mars:

oldest rocks are 4.5 billion yrs

Meteorites:

oldest are 4.6 billion yrs

Earth:

oldest rocks are 4.4 billion yrs

Moon:

oldest rocks are 4.5 billion yrs

Mars:

oldest rocks are 4.5 billion yrs

Meteorites:

oldest are 4.6 billion yrs

Age of Solar System is probably around

Earth:

oldest rocks are 4.4 billion yrs

Moon:

oldest rocks are 4.5 billion yrs

Mars:

oldest rocks are 4.5 billion yrs

Meteorites:

oldest are 4.6 billion yrs

Age of Solar System is probably around 4.6 billion years old

Origin of the Solar System: Building A Theory

These patterns cry out for explanation!

Want to organize, and explain in a scientific way

Building a Scientific Model

- How? the test of all scientific knowledge is observation.
- Experiment is the final judge of scientific truth.

If experiment is the Judge, then the Court is the **Scientific Method**:

observation & experiment → tentative model

- → predictions → further experiment
- → refined model → repeat 1

end product: theory

Building Theories

Scientific Models must:

- explain observations
- predict future observations
- change or even be abandoned if in conflict with any observations

"The scientific method is a way of finding what works. The first principle is that you must not fool yourself–and you are the easiest person to fool." --Richard Feynman

A Theory for the Origin of the Solar System

Inputs: Data and Laws

- data: observed patterns in the Solar System motions, planet types, debris types
- laws of nature
 - Iike F=ma
 - discovered (mostly) in labs on Earth

Output: Model

- sequence of events, predictions for evolution up to present
- Allows us to construct a "story of what happened"
- makes predictions for new observations

The Early Days

We'll come back to this, but the Universe is around 13.7 billion years old.

3 minutes after the big bang (we'll come back to this), the Universe was mostly hydrogen (75% by mass) and helium (25%) with no heavy elements





The first stars were born and died.



The first stars were born and died. When a massive star dies, it goes <u>supernova</u> and explodes. (We'll come back to this.)



The first stars were born and died. When a massive star dies, it goes <u>supernova</u> and explodes. (We'll come back to this.) When it does this, the elements forged during its life enrich space.


Making Heavy Elements

The first stars were born and died.

When a massive star dies, it goes <u>supernova</u> and explodes. (We'll come back to this.)

When it does this, the elements forged during its life enrich space.

Supernovae provide much of the building blocks for planets... and us!



Making Heavy Elements

The first stars were born and died.

When a massive star dies, it goes <u>supernova</u> and explodes. (We'll come back to this.)

When it does this, the elements forged during its life enrich space.

Supernovae provide much of the building blocks for planets... and us!

We are recycled supernova debris!



Making Heavy Elements

The first stars were born and died.

When a massive star dies, it goes <u>supernova</u> and explodes. (We'll come back to this.)

When it does this, the elements forged during its life enrich space.

Supernovae provide much of the building blocks for planets... and us!

We are recycled supernova debris! We are Star stuff.





microscope image of interplanetary dust http://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap010813.html



Keyhole Nebula

nterstellar space is not empty!





Keyhole Nebula

nterstellar space is not empty!

here is stuff between the stars in a galaxy!

- very dilute (less dense than best lab vacuum)
- mostly gas (98% of mass), of which most is hydrogen
- but 2% is microscopic solid bodies: "dust"





Keyhole Nebula

nterstellar space is not empty!

- here is stuff between the stars in a galaxy!
- very dilute (less dense than best lab vacuum)
- mostly gas (98% of mass), of which most is hydrogen
- but 2% is microscopic solid bodies: "dust"
- very star and planet, and maybe the molecules nat led to life, were formed in the dust nd gas of clouds.





Keyhole Nebula

nterstellar space is not empty!

- here is stuff between the stars in a galaxy!
- very dilute (less dense than best lab vacuum)
- mostly gas (98% of mass), of which most is hydrogen
- but 2% is microscopic solid bodies: "dust"
- very star and planet, and maybe the molecules nat led to life, were formed in the dust nd gas of clouds.





Keyhole Nebula

nterstellar space is not empty!

- here is stuff between the stars in a galaxy!
- very dilute (less dense than best lab vacuum)
- mostly gas (98% of mass), of which most is hydrogen
- but 2% is microscopic solid bodies: "dust"

very star and planet, and maybe the molecules nat led to life, were formed in the dust nd gas of clouds.

- xists as either
- Diffuse Interstellar Clouds
- Molecular Clouds



Keyhole Nebula



microscope image of interplanetary dust http://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap010813.html

Where did the solar nebula come from?



The cloud of gas that gave birth to our solar system resulted from the recycling of gas through many generations of stars within our galaxy















Orion Nebula • OMC-1 Region

PRC97-13 • ST Scl OPO • May 12, 1997 R. Thompson (Univ. Arizona), S. Stolovy (Univ. Arizona), C.R. O'Dell (Rice Univ.) and NASA

Trapezium cluster:

< 10⁵ yr old (largest star ~30 solar masses)

star density >
10⁵ stars pc⁻³

0.07 pc

Molecular Clouds



We see spiral galaxy NGC 891 nearly edge-on





Bok globules



ESO PR Photo 20a/99 (30 April 1999)

The "Black Cloud" B68 (VLT ANTU + FORS1)





The Dark Cloud B68 at Different Wavelengths (NTT + SOFI)



ESO PR Photo 29b/99 (2 July 1999)

© European Southern Observatory

What theory best explains the features of our solar system?



The Eagle Nebula, a cloud in which stars are forming

solar nebula theory our solar system formed from a giant, gently spinning cloud of interstellar gas and dust

(nebula = cloud)

iClicker Poll: Gravity and Cloud Compression

- consider a cold cloud begins at rest
 - but then compressed by its own gravity
 - without losing or gaining mass
- for each point in the cloud
- How does the gravity force change after compression?
- A. gravity force weaker
- **B. gravity force same**
- C. gravity force stronger

Q: and so what eventually happens?





Why do large bodies in our solar system have orderly motions?

- The solar nebula began to collapse due to its own gravity
- As it collapsed, it began to spin faster and faster
- Sun forms at the center, surrounded by a flattened disk
- Planets form from gas and dust in the disk



The Solar Nebula Hypothesis

A rotating cloud of gas contracts and flattens...



to form a thin disk of gas and dust around the forming sun at the center.

Planets grow from gas and dust in the disk and are left behind when the disk clears.

© 2007 Thomson Higher Educati



 The gravity of the gas and dust clumps pushes the cloud together, but there is some resistance from pressure and magnetic fields to collapse.



- The gravity of the gas and dust clumps pushes the cloud together, but there is some resistance from pressure and magnetic fields to collapse.
- Probably as the cloud core collapses, it fragments into blobs that collapse into individual stars.



- The gravity of the gas and dust clumps pushes the cloud together, but there is some resistance from pressure and magnetic fields to collapse.
- Probably as the cloud core collapses, it fragments into blobs that collapse into individual stars.
- Cloud becomes denser and denser until gravity wins, and the clumps collapse under their own mass– a protostar.



But.

- Not all mass falls in directly (radially). Why?
- All gas has a small spin that preferentially causes the formation of a flattened structure

- time for an interlude.



ang. mom. =
$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{orbit} \\ \text{speed} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \text{distance} \\ \text{to orbit axis} \end{pmatrix}$$

Spinning or orbiting objects in closed system have angular momentum.

ang. mom. = $\begin{pmatrix} \text{orbit} \\ \text{speed} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \text{distance} \\ \text{to orbit axis} \end{pmatrix}$

Spinning or orbiting objects in closed system have angular momentum.

ang. mom. = $\begin{pmatrix} \text{orbit} \\ \text{speed} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \text{distance} \\ \text{to orbit axis} \end{pmatrix}$



Spinning or orbiting objects in closed system have angular momentum.



= conserved!


Spinning or orbiting objects in closed system have angular momentum.



= conserved!



Spinning or orbiting objects in closed system have angular momentum.



= conserved!

Keep same dist. to axis **___** speed same

Spinning or orbiting objects in closed system have angular momentum.



= conserved!

Keep same dist. to axis **___** speed same

Spinning or orbiting objects in closed system have angular momentum.



= conserved!

Keep same dist. to axis - speed same

Move closer to axis speed up!

Spinning or orbiting objects in closed system have angular momentum.



Solar nebula competition: Gravity vs Angular Momentum

• If fall perpendicular to spin axis



Solar nebula competition: Gravity vs Angular Momentum

If fall perpendicular to spin axis
 Need to speed up
 resistance: centrifugal force



- If fall perpendicular to spin axis
 Need to speed up
 resistance: centrifugal force
- If fall parallel to spin axis:



- If fall perpendicular to spin axis
 Need to speed up
 resistance: centrifugal force
- If fall parallel to spin axis: same speed, so no resistance
 forms protoplanetary disk swirling gas and dust raw material for planets



- If fall perpendicular to spin axis
 Need to speed up
 resistance: centrifugal force
- If fall parallel to spin axis: same speed, so no resistance
 forms protoplanetary disk swirling gas and dust raw material for planets
- Origin of planet's orbits!
- Origin of Ecliptic plane
- Organizes spins along initial spin axis



- If fall perpendicular to spin axis
 Need to speed up
 resistance: centrifugal force
- If fall parallel to spin axis: same speed, so no resistance
 forms protoplanetary disk swirling gas and dust raw material for planets
- Origin of planet's orbits!
- Origin of Ecliptic plane
- Organizes spins along initial spin axis Q: how can we test if these ideas are right?



