

Astro 501: Radiative Processes  
Lecture 32  
April 10, 2013

Announcements:

- **Problem Set 10** due 5pm Friday April 19
- ICES to be available online – please!

Last time: we reached the summit!  
quantum mechanical expressions for

- Einstein  $A$  and  $B$ , or equivalently
- absorption cross section

*Q: key quantum ingredient?*

Today: the payoff

the astrophysics of absorption lines; draws on

└ Draine, *Physics of the Interstellar and Intergalactic Medium*  
Padmanabhan, *Theoretical Astrophysics*

the Einstein coefficients in the electric dipole approximation are:

- true *absorption*

$$B_{\ell u} = \frac{8\pi^2}{3c\hbar^2} |d_{\ell u}|^2 = \frac{32\pi^4}{3ch^2} |d_{\ell u}|^2 \quad (1)$$

for *non-degenerate atomic levels* with  $g_\ell = g_u = 1$  we have

- *stimulated emission*

$$B_{u\ell} = B_{\ell u} \quad (2)$$

- *spontaneous emission*

$$A_{u\ell} = \frac{4\omega_{u\ell}^3 |d_{u\ell}|^2}{3c^3\hbar} = \frac{64\pi^4 \nu_{u\ell}^3 |d_{u\ell}|^2}{3c^3h} \quad (3)$$

notice that

$$\hbar\omega_{u\ell} A_{u\ell} = \frac{4\omega_{u\ell}^4 |d_{u\ell}|^2}{3c^3} \quad (4)$$

2

Q: what does this represent physically? why is this awesome?

Einstein  $A_{ul}$  is  $u \rightarrow$  transition probability per unit time  
 $\rightarrow$  spontaneous emission rate per atom in state  $u$

thus  $E_{ul} A_{ul} = \hbar \omega_{ul} A_{ul}$  is energy emission rate  
= **power** emitted per atom

$$P_{ul} = \frac{4\omega_{ul}^4 |d_{ul}|^2}{3c^3} \quad (5)$$

but recall our classical Larmor dipole result

$$P = \frac{4}{3c^2} |\ddot{\vec{d}}|^2 = \frac{4}{3c^2} \omega^4 |\vec{d}|^2 \quad (6)$$

*quantum result has same form*, with  $|\vec{d}| = |d_{u/\ell}| = |\langle \ell | e\vec{r} | u \rangle|$

$\omega$  results often expressed in terms of **oscillator strength**  $f$   
Q: why? what's  $f$ ? typical values?

If the electron moves as a *damped classical oscillator* with natural (resonant) frequency  $\omega_0$  then (PS10) absorption rate is  $B_{lu}^{\text{classical}} J(\nu_{lu})$  with

$$B_{lu}^{\text{classical}} = \frac{4\pi^2 e^2}{h\nu_{lu} m_e c} \quad (7)$$

it is thus convenient write

$$B_{lu} \equiv f_{lu} B_{lu}^{\text{classical}} \quad (8)$$

$$\sigma_{lu}(\nu) = \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c} f_{lu} \phi(\nu) \quad (9)$$

sum rule:  $\sum_j \text{final } f_{ij} = N$

for strong allowed transitions,  $f \sim 1$

## Shape of Spectral Lines

consider a transition  $u \rightarrow \ell$

*Q: most naïve guess for line profile  $\phi(\nu)$*

real astrophysical spectra show wide range profiles  
with nonzero observed widths

www: solar spectrum try  $(\lambda_i, \Delta\lambda) = (6500, 100)\text{nm}; (4043, 5); (6704, 8)$

www: spectrum of mystery star

*Q: how is this star different from the Sun?*

*hint—look at the continuum*

www: spectrum of interstellar matter

*Q: how is this gotten? how do we know the lines are ISM?*

5

*Q: reason(s) for nonzero observed linewidths?*

# Linewidths

naïvely: in transition  $u \rightarrow \ell$ , *energy conservation* requires  $h\nu = E_u - E_\ell \equiv h_{u\ell}$ , so  $\phi_{\text{naive}}(\nu) = \delta(\nu - \nu_{u\ell})$ : *zero width!*

But real observed linewidths are nonzero, for several reasons

- *intrinsic width*

quantum effect, due to nonzero transition probability

- *thermal broadening*

thermal motion of absorbers  $\rightarrow$  Doppler shifts

- *collisional broadening*

absorber collisions add to transition probability

- *instrumental resolution*

○

real spectrographs have finite resolving power

$$R = \lambda / \Delta\lambda = \nu / \Delta\nu \stackrel{\text{Keck}}{\sim} 30,000$$

## Intrinsic Linewidth

in real atoms, any excited state  $u$  has nonzero transition rate to lower levels:  $\Gamma_u = 1/\tau_u$ , with  $\tau_u$  the state *lifetime*

thus: state  $u$  is only populated for timescales  $\delta t \sim \tau_u$

but in quantum mechanics, over finite time  $\Delta t$ , *energy* only determined to within finite resolution

$$\Delta E \Delta t \gtrsim \frac{\hbar}{2} \quad (10)$$

the **energy-time uncertainty relation**

thus state  $u$ , level energy  $E_u$  has intrinsic spread

✓  $\delta E_u \sim \hbar/\tau_u = \hbar\Gamma_u$

Q: consequence for line profile?

level  $u$  energy intrinsic spread  $\delta E_u \sim \hbar/\tau_u = \hbar\Gamma_u$   
 so for  $u \rightarrow \ell$ , transition frequency  $\nu_{u\ell} = (E_u - E_\ell)/h$   
 has natural or *intrinsic width*  $\delta\nu_{n\ell} = \Gamma_{u\ell} = \Gamma_u + \Gamma_\ell$

level lifetimes related to Einstein  $A$  = decay rates:

$$\Gamma_u = \Gamma_{u \rightarrow \text{anything}} = \sum_{u \rightarrow \text{allowed } j} A_{uj} \quad (11)$$

where sum is over *all energetically allowed* transitions from  $u$

for *damped classical oscillator*, damping  $\Gamma\dot{x}$   
 leads (PS10) to absorption cross section

$$\sigma_{\ell u}(\nu) = \frac{2\pi e^2}{m_e c} \frac{\Gamma/2}{(\omega - \omega_0)^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2} = \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c} \frac{4\Gamma}{16\pi^2(\nu - \nu_0)^2 + \Gamma^2}$$

$\infty$  Q: behavior at  $\nu = \nu_0$ ?  $\nu \gg \nu_0$ ? what about a real atomic transition  $u \rightarrow \ell$ ?



for a damped classical oscillator, we have

$$\sigma(\nu) = \pi e^2 / m_e c \phi(\nu) = B_{\text{classical}} \phi(\nu) \quad (12)$$

with profile function (normalized to  $\int \phi(\nu) d\nu = 1$ ) of

$$\phi(\nu) = \frac{4\Gamma}{16\pi^2(\nu - \nu_0)^2 + \Gamma^2}$$

a real atomic transition  $u \rightarrow \ell$  has same properties but with overall factor of oscillator strength:

$$\sigma_{ul}(\nu) = \pi e^2 / m_e c f_{ul} \phi_{ul}(\nu) = B_{\text{classical}} f_{ul} \phi(\nu) \quad (13)$$

with *Lorentzian* profile shape

$$\phi_{ul}^{\text{intrinsic}}(\nu) = \frac{4\Gamma_{ul}}{16\pi^2(\nu - \nu_{ul})^2 + \Gamma_{ul}^2}$$

6

full width at half-maximum:  $(\Delta\nu)_{\text{FWHM}} = \Gamma_{ul}/2\pi$

note that line profiles and linewidths are often expressed in line-of-sight *velocity* units

motivated by the non-relativistic Doppler formula, we have

$$v(\nu) = \frac{\nu - \nu_{ul}}{\nu_{ul}} c \quad (14)$$

so that  $v(\nu_{ul}) = 0$  at line center

thus the FWHM in velocity units is

$$(\Delta v)_{\text{FWHM}} = \frac{(\Delta \nu)_{\text{FWHM}}}{\nu_{ul}} c = \frac{\Gamma_{ul} \lambda_{ul}}{2\pi} \quad (15)$$

for optical and UV transitions, intrinsic linewidths generally small:

for Lyman- $\alpha$ ,  $(\Delta v)_{\text{FWHM}, \text{Ly}\alpha} = 0.0121 \text{ km/s}$

◻ Q: implications?

## Thermal Linewidth

intrinsic linewidths are generally narrow  
so other broadening effects can be important

*thermal motion* of atoms leads to Doppler shifts  
of incident spectra as seen by the atoms  
so absorption occurs “off resonance”

a *Gaussian distribution* of line-of-sight velocities  
has velocity probability distribution

$$p(v) dv = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_v} e^{-(v-v_0)^2/2\sigma_v^2} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}b} e^{-(v-v_0)^2/b^2} dv \quad (16)$$

where  $v_0$  is the bulk or “systemic” velocity along sightline  
 $\sigma_v = b/\sqrt{2}$  is the *velocity dispersion*

11

Q:  $v_0$ ,  $\sigma_v$ , and  $b$  for thermal gas at rest??

a thermal gas at  $T$  of particles with mass  $m$ ,  
and at rest in bulk, has

$$p_T(v) dv = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi kT}} e^{-mv^2/2kT} \quad (17)$$

from which we identify

$$v_0 = 0 \quad (18)$$

$$\sigma_v = v_T \equiv \sqrt{\frac{kT}{m}} = 9.12 \text{ km/s} \left( \frac{T}{10^4 \text{ K}} \right) \left( \frac{1 \text{ amu}}{m} \right) \quad (19)$$

$$b = \sqrt{\frac{2kT}{m}} \quad (20)$$

*Q: implications of numerical result?*

*Q: how to combine intrinsic and thermal broadening?*

## Voigt Profile

in general both intrinsic and thermal broadening present  
and so resulting line profile includes both effects

observed profile is *weighted average*  
of natural/intrinsic width with Doppler shifted center

$$\nu'_{ul} = \left(1 - \frac{v}{c}\right) \nu_{ul} \quad (21)$$

giving the **Voigt profile**

$$\phi_{\text{Voigt}}(\nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} b} \int e^{-v^2/b^2} \frac{4\Gamma_{ul}}{16\pi^2 [\nu - (1 - v/c)\nu_{ul}]^2 + \Gamma_{ul}^2} dv$$

integral has no simple analytic result

13 Q: *simple and interesting approximation?*

we saw that for astrophysical situations, often  
intrinsic linewidths  $(\Delta\nu)_{\text{FWHM}} \ll b$  thermal linewidths

simple approximation: intrinsic absorption is  $\delta$ -function  
 $\phi^{\text{intrinsic}}(\nu) \rightarrow \delta[\nu - (1 - v/c)\nu_{ul}]$

this gives a thermally-dominated Voigt profile

$$\phi_{\text{Voigt}}(\nu) \rightarrow \phi_T(\nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{c}{\nu_{ul} b} \exp\left[-\frac{v(\nu)^2}{b^2}\right] \quad (22)$$

valid in the “*thermal core*”  $\nu - \nu_{ul} \ll \Gamma_{ul}$ , with

$$v(\nu) \equiv \left(\frac{\nu - \nu_{ul}}{\nu_{ul}}\right) c \quad (23)$$

for  $\nu - \nu_{ul} \gg b$ , in the “*damping wings*,” we have

$$\phi_{\text{Voigt}}(\nu) \approx \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{\Gamma_{ul}}{(\nu - \nu_{ul})^2} \quad (24)$$

Q: sketch of  $\phi_{\text{Voigt}}(\nu)$ ? of  $\sigma_{ul}(\nu)$ ?

so imagine we can resolve a strong absorption line  
and measure the shape vs  $\nu$  or  $\lambda$  to high precision

*Q: what will we see?*

*Q: what will we learn?*

*Q: what if the line is not very strong?*

*Q: what if we only have moderate spectral resolution?*

www: overview of the optical solar spectrum

*Q: what are we seeing?*

## Collisional Linewidth

if particle densities are high, atomic collisions are rapid and can drive transitions  $u \leftrightarrow \ell$

thus there is a nonzero collision rate  $\Gamma_{\text{coll}}$  per atom where  $\Gamma_{\text{coll}} = n \sigma_{\text{coll}} v$

heuristically: this decreases excited state lifetimes and thus adds to energy uncertainty

so total transition rate is  $\Gamma_{\text{int}} + \Gamma_{\text{coll}}$ : collisions add damping, in density- and temperature-dependent way

↳ thus collisional broadening a measure of density and temperature thus also know as “pressure broadening”