

Astro 507
Lecture 13
Feb. 19, 2014

Announcements:

- **Problem Set 2 due Friday**

office hours: 3:10-4pm Thurs., or by appt
for problem 1: see also extras in Lecture 12

Today: dark energy begins!

Last time: cosmic distances

Q: *“Newtonian” distance?*

Q: *luminosity distance?*

Q: *angular diameter distance?*

Cosmic Distances

Newtonian distance

$$d_{\text{Newt}} = \frac{cz}{H_0} = d_H z$$

luminosity distance

$$d_L = \sqrt{\frac{L_{\text{em}}}{4\pi F_{\text{obs}}}} = (1+z) r(z)$$

angular diameter distance

$$d_A = \frac{D_{\text{em}}}{\delta\theta} = \frac{r(z)}{1+z}$$

where comoving radial coordinate:

$$r(z) \stackrel{\text{flat}}{=} c \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{H(z)'} \quad (1)$$

2

Note: $d_L/d_A = (1+z)^2$ for any cosmology

“reciprocity relation,” sometimes called “distance duality”

Now: finished cosmo muscle building

▷ passed Olympic trials

▷ onward to Sochi!

ASTR 507 thus far: classical cosmology
observations, Newtonian & Relativistic theory

Beginning now: 21st Century Cosmology

Cosmic Acceleration & Dark Energy

Cosmic Conundrum: Observations vs Good Taste

1990's Cosmology:

- ▶ theory (Dicke coincidence *Q: whazzat?*, inflation), good taste, and some observational hints on large scales
→ $\Omega_0 = 1$
- ▶ observation (e.g., galaxy halos, clusters) → $\Omega_m \sim 0.3$

Q: possible reasons for discrepancy?

Q: observational tests?

Probing Cosmic Expansion as Far as the Eye Can See

Friedmann: cosmic *contents* control cosmic *dynamics*
→ cosmic ingredients encoded in *history* of cosmic expansion

Strategy: **measure** $H(z)$ over large range in z

- Friedmann: $H = H(z; \Omega_0)$ → data over large z range determine Ω_0
- alternatively, Friedmann accel:

$$H^2 = -2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - 8\pi GP - \frac{\kappa c^2}{R^2 a^2}$$

$H(z)$ sensitive to acceleration, pressure, curvature

- Q: *what observables trace $H(z)$? what needed for large z range?*

Supernovae as Standard Candles

long “baseline” in $z \rightarrow$ requires **luminous** sources
supernova explosions—can outshine a galaxy
at peak, $L_{\text{SN,max}} \sim 10^{10} L_{\odot}$

www: SN 1994D; SN2014J in M82

Procedure:

- identify SNe to use as standard candles
- measure flux F for events over wide range in z
- find $d_L(z) = \sqrt{L_{\text{SN}}/4\pi F} \stackrel{\text{flat}}{=} (1+z) \int_0^z dz/H(z)$
- infer $H(z) \rightarrow$ cosmic dynamics, parameters

First step:

all SN not created equal!

~

Q: *what are basic SN classes observationally? how distinct physically?*

Supernova Zoology 101

▷ Type II* (Core-Collapse) Supernovae

massive star $\gtrsim 8 - 10M_{\odot}$ gravitational collapse

optical (baryonic) explosion: $E_{\text{vis}} \sim 10^{51}$ erg

but most energy released in neutrinos: $E_{\nu} \sim 3 \times 10^{53}$ erg

neutron star/black hole remnant

*Types Ib and Ic events also due to core-collapse

▷ Type Ia (Thermonuclear) Supernovae

binary system: white dwarf and companion

WD accretes \rightarrow pushed over Chandrasehkar limit

i.e., drive $M_{\text{WD}} > 1.4M_{\odot} \rightarrow$ gravitationally unstable

thermonuclear detonation $E_{\text{exp}} \sim 10^{51}$ erg

∞

Q: pros and cons of each Type for cosmology?

Supernova Cosmology: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly

Type II Supernovae

Pro

- Understand basic physics: most E_{SN} in neutrinos
saw 1987A neutrinos
confirmed basic picture

Con

- Don't understand optical explosion:
- $E_{\text{vis}} \sim 1\% E_{\text{SN}}$ tough!
models often don't explode!
- core collapse: range of masses, E_{SN}
 \Rightarrow diverse range of $L \Rightarrow$ candle not std
occur in \star -form regions \rightarrow obscured

Type Ia Supernovae

Pro

- Chandra limit \sim fixed mass
+ nuke binding \sim fixed
 \approx fixed E release
 \Rightarrow fixed $L(t)$: std candle!
- low- z SN Ia nearly identical $L(t)$
- outside \star -form: less(?) obscured

Con

- Don't understand basic scenario: who is companion?
giant? another WD?
astrophysical "black box"
- low- z Ia *not* identical $L(t)$

Type Ia Supernovae: “Standardizable” Candles

Type Ia events: best candidates on balance (for now)

- empirically (low- z) closest to std candles
- typically ~ 1 mag brighter than SN II \rightarrow can probe higher z
- ...but check for systematics!

Type Ia light curves (low- z): *E Pluribus Unum*

light curve $L(t)$ same basic shape—rise, fall

... but spread in timescale (\sim FWHM) & peak L

... but these are tightly *correlated*!

\rightarrow $L(t)$ spread can be empirically fit with 1 parameter

\Rightarrow *scaled* light curves \approx identical! www: light curves

\Rightarrow “**standardized**” candles!