

Astro 507  
Lecture 15  
Feb. 24, 2014

Announcements:

- **Preflight 3 due Friday at 9am**
- PS1 back at end of class, scores on Compass

Last time: evidence for acceleration

data: SN fainter (lower  $F$ ) than in coasting, decel. Universe

*Q: possible interpretations?*

*Q: novel property required of any cosmic accelerant?*

*Q: simplest accelerant?*

└ *Q: how much accelerant needed?*

## The Data: $\Lambda$ Emerges

SN Ia data in  $\Lambda$  cosmology:

- allow for  $\Omega_\Lambda = \Lambda c^2 / 3H^2 \neq 0$
- find best fit to  $d_L$  data:  
**“concordance universe”**

www:  $\Omega_\Lambda - \Omega_m$  plane

$$\Omega_\Lambda \simeq 0.7 \quad \Omega_m \simeq 0.3$$

(1)

**This is amazing!**

Q: *why?*

## $\Lambda$ Looms Large

acceleration demands  $\Omega_\Lambda \sim 0.7$

roughly independent of CMB

- Einstein-de Sitter expectations of  $\Omega_m = \Omega_0 = 1$   
*totally ruled out!*
  - $\Omega_\Lambda \neq 0$ : cosmo constant (or worse!) seems to exist!
  - $\Omega_\Lambda \gtrsim 2\Omega_m$ : U *dominated* by  $\Lambda$  *now!*
  - *two mysteries seem related quantitatively:*  
CMB + cluster:  $\Omega_0 - \Omega_m = \Omega_{\text{other}} \approx 0.7$   
SNe Ia:  $\Omega_\Lambda \approx 0.7$
- a consistent picture of a bizarre universe!

$\omega$

Q: if this is all true, cosmic fate?

## $\Lambda$ and Cosmic Fate: Big Chill and Dark Sky

*if* acceleration is truly due to  $\Lambda$  then:

- already dominates Friedmann
- as  $a$  increases, matter & curvature terms drop  
→  $\Lambda$  dominates even more!

The bleak  $\Lambda$ -dominated future:

★ future  $a(t) \simeq e^{\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda} H_0 (t-t_0)}$  → exponential expansion *forever!*  
fate is not only *big chill* but *supercooling*

★ *event horizon* exists:  $d_{\text{event,comov}}(t_0) \simeq \Omega_\Lambda^{-1/2} d_H \sim 6400$  Mpc  
we will *never* see beyond this!

worse still: later on,

$$d_{\text{event,comov}}(t_0 + \Delta t) = e^{-\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda} H_0 \Delta t} d_{\text{event,comov}}(t_0)$$

event horizon shrinks exponentially with time!

→ ever less to see!

observational astronomy from data mining only!

## $\Lambda$ as Vacuum Energy

Can rewrite  $\Lambda$  as energy density:  $\rho_\Lambda$ :  
in Friedmann, put

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho - \frac{\kappa c^2}{R^2 a^2} + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3} \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{3}(\rho + \rho_\Lambda) - \frac{\kappa c^2}{R^2 a^2}$$

so that

$$\rho_\Lambda = \frac{\Lambda c^2}{8\pi G} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_\Lambda = \frac{\rho_\Lambda}{\rho_{\text{crit}}}$$

Then introduce pressure  $P_\Lambda$  in Fried accel:

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho + 3P) + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3} \equiv -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho + \rho_\Lambda + 3P + 3P_\Lambda)$$

can show:

$$P_\Lambda = -\frac{\Lambda c^2}{8\pi G} = -\rho_\Lambda$$

5

i.e.,  $P_\Lambda = w\rho_\Lambda$ , with  $w = -1$

Note:

- $\Lambda$  is strict constant  $\rightarrow \rho_\Lambda$  constant in space and time  
“energy density of the vacuum”  $\rightarrow$  **dark energy**
- $P_\Lambda < 0$ : as needed for acceleration
- equation of state parameter  $w = -1$  preserves  $\Lambda$  constancy

So:  $\Lambda$  is equivalently a length scale  
or an energy density

*Q: what sets its value?*

## $\Lambda$ and its Discontents

In Classical GR:

- ▷  $\Lambda$  is a (optional) parameter to be measured
- ▷ no *a priori* insight as to its value  
(beyond escaping solar system limits)

But quantum mechanics & particle physics  
offer a new perspective on vacuum energy

Recall: blackbody radiation  
usually write total energy density:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{bb}}(T) = \int \bar{n} \hbar \omega \frac{d^3 p}{h^3} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 c^2} \int_{\omega=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar \omega}{e^{\hbar \omega / kT} - 1} \omega^2 d\omega = a_{\text{Boltz}} T^4$$

note that  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $T \rightarrow 0$ : vacuum has no energy  
...but ( $\Lambda$  aside) this was always a cheat!

Q: *why? what omitted?*

Uncertainty principle → nothing “at rest”  
→ ground state “zero point motion”  
→ zero point modes have energy  $E_0 \neq 0$

Blackbody result: treats photon modes  
as harmonic oscillators  
but threw away zero point energy  $E_0 = \hbar\omega/2!$   
Cheated!

- handwaving excuse:  
 $E_0$  cost of “assembling” oscillators/quanta  
...and then only energy *differences* count
- in practice, usual Planck result is really  
 $\epsilon_{\text{usual}} = \epsilon_{\text{tot}}(T) - \epsilon_{T=0} = \epsilon_{\text{tot}}(T) - \epsilon_{\text{zeropoint}}$
- but in GR: curvature  $\leftrightarrow$  mass-energy density  
absolute energy scales matter!  
e.g.,  $(\dot{a}/a)^2 \sim 8\pi G/3 \epsilon/c^2$

∞

Q: what if we keep the zero-point energy?

Try keeping zero point energy:

$$\varepsilon \sim \int_0^\infty \langle E(\omega) \rangle \omega^2 d\omega \quad (2)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \left( \bar{n} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \hbar\omega \omega^2 d\omega \quad (3)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{e^{\hbar\omega/kT} - 1} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \omega^3 d\omega \quad (4)$$

$$= \varepsilon_{\text{usual}} + \varepsilon_{\text{zeropoint}} \quad (5)$$

where the zero point contribution is

$$\varepsilon_{\text{zeropoint}} \sim \int_0^\infty \omega^3 d\omega = \infty^4$$

“ultraviolet catastrophe”!

*Q: possible cures?*

# Vacuum Energy in Particle Physics

what is cause of catastrophe?

$$\varepsilon_{\text{zeropoint}} \sim \int_0^{\omega_{\text{max}}} \omega^3 d\omega \sim \omega_{\text{max}}^4$$

allowed  $\omega_{\text{max}} \rightarrow \infty$

→ included modes of arbitrarily high energy  
arbitrarily small wavelength

**If** quanta *energy has upper limit*  $E_{\text{max}}$

i.e., a minimum wavelength  $\lambda_{\text{min}} = \hbar c / E_{\text{max}}$

then  $\varepsilon_{\text{zeropoint}} \neq \infty$

*Q: what might such a limit be?*

*Q: i.e., at what scale might energies “max out”?*

## The Planck Scale and $\Lambda$

Highest known energy scale in physics: **Planck Scale**  
when *quantum effects become important for gravity*

a particle of mass  $m$ , energy  $mc^2$   
has quantum scale  $\lambda_{\text{quantum}} = \hbar/mc$  (*Compton wavelength*)  
equal to GR scale  $\lambda_{\text{GR}} = 2Gm/c^2$  (*Schwarzschild radius*)  
if  $m = M_{\text{Pl}}$ : the **Planck mass**

$$M_{\text{Pl}}c^2 = \sqrt{\frac{c}{G\hbar}}c^2 \sim 10^{19} \text{ GeV} \quad (6)$$

$$\ell_{\text{Pl}} = \frac{\hbar}{M_{\text{Pl}}c} \sim 10^{-33} \text{ cm} \quad (7)$$

if quanta have  $E_{\text{max}} = M_{\text{Pl}}$  and  $\lambda_{\text{min}} = \ell_{\text{Pl}}$   
then estimate vacuum energy density

$$\rho_{\text{vac,Pl}} \sim M_{\text{Pl}}^4 \sim 10^{110} \text{ erg/cm}^3 \sim 10^{89} \text{ g/cm}^3$$

Q: *implications?*

Compare to the vacuum density in  $\Lambda$ :

$$\rho_{\text{vac,PI}} \sim 10^{89} \text{ g/cm}^3 \sim 10^{120} \rho_{\text{Lambda}}$$

mismatch is  $\sim 120$  orders of magnitude!!

So the real question is not: *“Why have  $\Lambda$  at all?”*

but rather: *“Why isn’t  $\Lambda$  gi-normous?”*

*quantum gravity?*

maybe some underlying symmetry set  $\Lambda = 0$

to avoid “fine-tuning”  $\Lambda$

if so, then dark energy is not vacuum energy

but some other energy density with negative pressure

*high-energy phase transitions/symmetry breaking?*

maybe symmetry breaking processes set vacuum energy

e.g., GUT, SUSY, electroweak, QCD

if so, how does each contribute to total vacuum?

run the numbers: best case is QCD

$$\varepsilon_{\text{qcd}} \sim \Lambda_{\text{qcd}}^4 \sim (100 \text{ MeV})^4 \sim 10^{30} \varepsilon_{\text{dark energy}} \quad (8)$$

many orders of magnitude improvement, but not quite a fix!

Bottom line:

known quantum fields do not provide viable candidate

for source of vacuum energy  $\rho_{\text{vac}} = \rho_{\Lambda}$

# Dark Energy: Parameterized Ignorance

## Theoretical Ignorance

No good (i.e., pre-existing) candidates for cosmic acceleration unlike dark matter: high-E theory predicts stable exotic particles

Lacking guidance, look for general way to describe cosmic substance responsible for acceleration: **dark energy**  
recall: matter, radiation,  $\Lambda$  described by  $P = w\rho c^2$   
with  $w$  a constant

Write dark energy density and pressure with

$$P_{\text{DE}} = w \rho_{\text{DE}} c^2$$

“parameterize our ignorance” in  $w$  (possibly not constant)  
cosmo constant is limiting case  $Q$ : *Namely?*  
 $Q$ : *what can we say about  $w$  values?*

# Dark Energy: the Little We Know

What is  $w$  today?

In DE-only case

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho + 3P) = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\rho(1 + 3w) \quad (9)$$

→ acceleration requires  $w < -1/3$  today

Recall: cosmic first law is

$$d(\rho a^3) = -p d(a^3) = -w\rho d(a^3) \quad (10)$$

For constant  $w$ :

$$\rho_{\text{DE}} \propto a^{-3(1+w)} \quad (11)$$

- 15 Q: sanity check—results for  $w =$  matter, radiation,  $\Lambda$ ?  
Q: connection between “ $w$ ” dark energy and  $\Lambda$ ?

Data: generalize  $\Omega_\Lambda$  limits  
to  $\Omega_w$  and  $w$  (now two parameters)  
www: current limits

$$\Omega_w \sim 0.7 \quad , \quad w < -0.76 \text{ (95\%CL)}$$

- $w$  close to  $-1$ : cosmo constant value!
- tests for  $w$  change weak but null  
→ also like cosmo const!

What if  $w$  not constant?

Empirical approach: Taylor expand

$$w(a) = w_0 + w_a (1 - a) \tag{12}$$

observations constraint parameters  $(w_0, w_a)$

*Q: does this allow for  $\Lambda$  result? if so how?*

www: present data