

Astro 507  
Lecture 26  
March 21, 2014

Announcements:

- **PS4: due now or upload by next Monday**
- **PF 5 posted, due Friday after break**

Last time: testing big bang nuke

- theory: light elements after  $\sim 3$  min  
each is a function of  $\eta \equiv n_{\text{baryon}}/n_{\gamma}$
- observations: abundances extrapolated to zero metallicity  
each picks it's own  $\eta$
- overconstrained system—one parameter, several abundances:  
elements *should* agree for some  $\eta$   
but need not – nontrivial test of cosmology!
- **www:** results rough agreement—but what about  ${}^7\text{Li}$ ?  
approaches: (1) don't worry too much, look at implications  
(1) worry, look at implications

# BBN Quantitative Results and Implications

Theory-Observation comparison

*qualitatively*: tests concordance, and hot big bang

if concordance found, then

*quantitatively*: measures cosmic baryon-to-photon ratio

*Q: what baryons do, don't count? photons?*

## What's in a Number?

given  $\eta$  and, say,  $T_0 \rightarrow n_{\gamma,0}$

*Q: what else can we calculate?*

*Q: to what should these results be compared?*

*Q: implications of comparison*

## A Cosmic Baryon Census

BBN  $\rightarrow$  baryon content of U.: “baryometer”

...just from lite elements

*not* by directly counting baryons today

From  $\eta = n_B/n_\gamma$ , and CMB  $T_0 \rightarrow n_{\gamma,0}$ , compute

- baryon number density

$$n_{B,0} = \eta n_{\gamma,0} \sim 2.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ baryons cm}^{-3} \sim 1 \text{ baryon/cubic meter}$$

- baryon mass density  $\rho_{B,0} \approx m_p n_{B,0}$
- baryon density parameter  $\Omega_B = \rho_B/\rho_{\text{crit}}$

$$0.024 \leq \Omega_B \leq 0.049$$

$\omega$  begs for comparison with

- other density parameters
- results of direct searches for baryonic matter

# Subcritical Baryons and Two Kinds of Dark Matter

$$0.024 \leq \Omega_B \leq 0.049$$

$$\Omega_B \ll 1$$

*baryons do not close the universe!*

$$\Omega_B \ll \Omega_{\text{Matter}} \simeq 0.3$$

*most of cosmic matter is not made of baryons!*

**“non-baryonic dark matter”**

huge implications for particle physics—more on this to come

Measure known baryons which are directly observable optically

i.e., in *luminous* form (stars, gas):  $\rho_{\text{lum}} = (M/L)_* \mathcal{L}_{\text{vis}}$

$$\Omega_{\text{lum}} \simeq 0.0024 h^{-1} \sim 0.004 \ll \Omega_B$$

⇒ *most baryons dark!* **“baryonic dark matter”**

Q: *Where are they?*

## Where are the dark baryons?

- **compact objects** (white dwarfs, neutron stars, black holes)

search for *MACHOs*: MAssive COmpact Halo Objects

via gravitational microlensing

www: lensing diagram, MACHO event

see lensing events towards LMC!

but are they MACHOs or LMC stars? ...probably the latter

- **warm/hot intergalactic medium** (WHIM)

structure formation → infall → shock heat to  $T \sim 10^5 - 10^7$  K

note: in galaxy clusters, **most** baryons in

hot “intracluster” gas, **not** galaxies!

www: X-ray cluster

but X-rays from WHIM gas harder to see...

5

recent evidence of diffuse “X-ray forest”

www: Chandra spectra

# BBN and the CMB: Battle of the Baryons

Until recently:

BBN was the premier means for measuring  $\eta \propto \Omega_B$   
→ the best cosmic “baryometer”

Now: CMB **independently** measures  $\eta$

**battle of the baryons**

compare independent measures of  $\eta$   
test of cosmology!

If agreement: big bang working very well!

$z \sim 10^{10}$  theory & light elements

○ quantitatively consistent with  $z \sim 10^3$  theory & CMB

If disagreement: a pressing problem!

## BBN in Light of the CMB

Planck 2013:

$$\Omega_{\text{baryon,CMB}} h^2 = 0.02207 \pm 0.00027$$

$$\Rightarrow \eta_{\text{CMB}} = (6.047 \pm 0.074) \times 10^{-10}$$

- 1.2% precision!
- independent of BBN!

BBN vs CMB: Testing Cosmology

pillar vs pillar!

www: Schramm plot:  $\eta_{\text{BBN}}$  vs  $\eta_{\text{CMB}}$

**Concordance!**

in more detail:

1. use  $\eta_{\text{CMB}}$  as **input** to (Std) BBN theory,
2. compute light elements
3. compare with observations

www: abundance likelihoods (CF0)

- D agreement perfect!  $^4\text{He}$  agreement excellent
- $^7\text{Li}$  tension clearer – hot research topic  
“lithium problem” could point to new physics!



## What's up with ${}^7\text{Li}$ ?

- observational systematics (e.g., stellar parameters)? Quite possible.  
(Melendez & Ramirez 2004; FOV05)
- astrophysical systematics (e.g., depletion)? but what about  ${}^6\text{Li}$ ? and Li dispersion small ( $\lesssim 0.2$  dex)...
- BBN calculation systematics: nuke reaction rates? But well-measured, and can use solar neutrinos to test dominant source:  ${}^3\text{He}(\alpha, \gamma){}^7\text{Be}$  (CFO04)
- new physics? if so, nature kind—didn't notice till now otherwise, would not have believed hot big bang...

## BBN: Beyond the Standard Model

Thus far, we have looked at *Standard* BBN

*Q: what assumptions did we make  
e.g., about cosmology, particle properties?*

*Q: which seem safest? most dubious?*

# BBN: Beyond the Standard Model

*Standard* BBN Assumes:

- Gravity is correctly described by **General Relativity**
- Cosmology is given by a **FLRW universe**
- Particle content and interactions are those of **Standard Model**
- Neutrinos consist of  **$N_\nu = 3$  non-degenerate species**

If any not true  $\rightarrow$  different nucleosynthesis!

Steigman, Schramm, & Gunn (1977)

*What if  $N_\nu > 3$ ?*

at the time, lab limit  $N_\nu \lesssim \text{few} \times 10^3$

if we add a new  $\nu_x \bar{\nu}_x$  species:

II Q: *what about BBN will be affected? what unchanged?*

Q: *would light element abundances be perturbed? how?*

# Adding Neutrinos to the Early Universe

Neutrinos and BBN:

- $\nu_e$  affect  $n \leftrightarrow p$  interconversion  
but  $\nu_\mu, \nu_\tau, \nu_x \dots$  do *not*
- $\nu$ s frozen out before nucle reactions, don't affect them
- but any and all relativistic  $\nu \rightarrow$  contribute to  $\rho \rightarrow H$   
*more  $\nu \Leftrightarrow$  expansion speed-up!*
- expansion speedup  $\rightarrow$  *earlier weak freezeout*

Q: *and so?*

*more  $\nu \Rightarrow$  faster expansion  $\Rightarrow$  earlier freezeout*

earlier freeze  $\rightarrow$  *higher  $T_{\text{freeze}}$*

in equilibrium:  *$(n/p)_{\text{eq}} = e^{-\Delta m/T}$*

so higher  $T_{\text{freeze}} \Rightarrow$  *higher  $(n/p)_{\text{freeze}} = e^{-\Delta m/T_{\text{freeze}}}$*

and finally: higher  $(n/p)_{\text{freeze}} \rightarrow$  *more neutrons per proton*  
and since  ${}^4\text{He}$  mass fraction is

$$Y_p \simeq \frac{2(n/p)_{\text{freeze}}}{1 + (n/p)_{\text{freeze}}} \quad (1)$$

*net result: more  $\nu \Rightarrow$  more  ${}^4\text{He}$*

<sup>13</sup> for more detail: see Director's Cut Extras below

## Neutrino Counting with BBN

cosmic helium measures cosmic neutrino content!

$$\delta Y_p = 0.013 \Delta N_\nu \quad (2)$$

if know  $\eta_{10} \gtrsim 3$  (conservative)

BBN theory sez  $Y_p \gtrsim 0.240$  for  $N_\nu = 3$

observations:  $Y_p < 0.252$  (reasonable but not max conservative)

so allowed excess over standard prediction:  $\delta Y < 0.012$

and thus  $\Delta N_\nu < 0.9$

can't have more than 3.9 species!

→ helium observations *require* 3 “normal” neutrino species!

but accelerator experiments give precision measurement

$$N_\nu = 2.9840 \pm 0.0082 \text{ (} Z^0 \text{ width from LEP, SLC)}$$

Q for experts: *does this really measure the same thing?*

Q: *so who cares anymore?*

Q: *what if we have a new relativistic species that not  $\nu$ ?*

Note:  $\Delta N_\nu$  really measures  
*any* increase in energy density  
due to *any relativistic species* in equilibrium  
 $\Delta N_\nu =$  “effective number of neutrino species”  
e.g., scalar ( $S = 0 \rightarrow$  boson),  $g = 1$  particle:  
 $N_{\nu,eff}^{\text{scalar}} = 4/7 = 0.57$   
“endangered”

**BBN constrains particle physics!**

Y. Zel'dovich:

**The universe is the poor man's particle accelerator.**

# Particle Dark Matter



## BBN and Particle Dark Matter

BBN motivates dark matter theory & searches two ways:

**Quantitative.**  $\Omega_B \ll \Omega_m$ : must have non-baryonic dark matter  
...and lots of it!

**Qualitative.** BBN success at  $t \sim 1$  s  $\rightarrow$  early U as physics lab  
“The universe is the poor man’s particle accelerator”  
– Ya. Zel’dovich

Big implications for—and motivations from—particle physics

*Q: what can we say about DM properties generally?*

*Q: what can we say if DM is in particle form?*

*lifetime, mass, interactions, quantum #s?*

17 *Q: what known particles are candidates for non-baryonic DM?*

*Q: does particle theory offer dark matter candidates?*

# Elementary Particle Physics and Dark Matter

## Dark matter

**dark:** no/feeble EM, strong interactions

**matter:** behaves as nonrelativistic material  $\rightarrow \rho \propto a^{-3}$ ,  $P \ll \rho c^2$   
naturally leads to hypothesis of DM as

Weakly Interacting Massive Particles: **WIMPs**

If DM is swarms of WIMPs, what are their properties?

lifetime: must exist today  $t_0 \sim 14$  Gyr

$\rightarrow$  stable or very long-lived

mass: don't know!

only know mass dens  $\rho_{m,0}$  today on cosmic, galactic scales

$\infty$  but without also knowing # dens  $n_{m,0}$ , can't get  $m = \rho/n$

$\rightarrow$  in fact, with specific model, from  $m$  get  $n_0$

interactions/quantum #s:

BBN: dark matter **not baryonic**

Standard Model of particle physics *does* provide  
a candidate for non-baryonic DM

stable + massive: **neutrinos**; can show (PS5):

$$\Omega_\nu h^2 = \frac{\sum_{\text{species}} m_\nu}{92 \text{ eV}} \quad (3)$$

...but can show (oscillation data, large scale structure, WMAP)

$\sum_{\text{species}} m_\nu \lesssim 1 \text{ eV}$ :  $\Omega_\nu \sim 0.01 < \Omega_B \ll \Omega_m$

$\nu$ s are non-baryonic DM, but negligible contribution to density

no other viable Standard Model particle candidates

**non-baryonic DM demands physics beyond the Standard Model**

particle candidates available “off the shelf”

lightest supersymmetric particle, axion, strangelets...

*Q: how are WIMPs produced in early U?*

# Director's Cut Extras

## Expansion Speedup from Neutrino Addition

Recall:  $H = 1/2t \sim \sqrt{g_*}T^2$

Before weak freeze, rel. degrees of freedom:

$$g_* = 2 + \frac{7}{8} (2 \times 2 + 2 \times N_\nu) \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \gamma & e^\pm & \nu\bar{\nu} \end{array} \quad (5)$$

$$= \frac{22}{4} + \frac{7}{4}N_\nu = 10.75 \text{ for } N_\nu = 3 \quad (6)$$

fix  $\eta$ , but let  $N_\nu = 3 + \Delta N_\nu$

if  $\Delta N_\nu > 0$ , the  $\delta g_* = 7/4 \Delta N_\nu$

→ higher  $H$  at fixed  $T$

21 Q: and then what?

(1) Weak freeze:

$$H(T_f) = \Gamma_{np}(T_f)$$

$$T_f \propto g_*^{1/6}$$

$$\delta T_f / T_f = 1/6 \delta g_* / g_*$$

freeze at higher  $T$

$$\frac{\delta X_{n,f}}{X_{n,f}} = \frac{\delta(n/p)_f}{(n/p)_f [1 + (n/p)_f]} = \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{1 + (n/p)_f} \frac{m_n - m_p}{T_f} \frac{\delta g_*}{g_*} \quad (7)$$

(2) D bottleneck:  $T_d \simeq B_2 / \ln \eta^{-1}$ ,

$$t_d \propto g_*^{-1/2} T_d^{-2}$$

$$\delta t_d / t_d = -1/2 \delta g_* / g_*$$

nucleon buildup sooner  $\rightarrow$  less free  $n$  decay

22 Q: so what will this mean for abundances? e.g.,  ${}^4\text{He}$ ?

Estimate  $\delta Y_p$ :

Recall: at  $t_d$ ,  $X_n = X_{n,f} e^{-t_d/\tau_n}$   
 and  $Y_p = 2X_n$ , so

$$\frac{\delta Y_p}{Y_p} = \frac{\delta X_{n,f}}{X_{n,f}} - \frac{t_d}{\tau_n} \frac{\delta t_d}{t_d} \quad (8)$$

hotter freeze    less decay (9)

$$= \left( \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{1 + (n/p)_f} \frac{m_n - m_p}{T_f} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{t_d}{\tau_n} \right) \frac{\delta g_*}{g_*} \quad (10)$$

$$\simeq 0.06 \Delta N_\nu \quad (11)$$

estimate  $\delta Y_p \sim 0.014 \Delta N_\nu$

full numerics:  $\delta Y_p = 0.013 \Delta N_\nu$

*more  $\nu \rightarrow$  more He*

www: Schramm plot for different  $N_\nu$