Astro 507 Lecture 6 Feb. 3, 2014

Announcements:

- PS1 due next Friday, Feb. 7
- Office Hours: 3–4 pm Thursday, or by appointment

Last time: Cosmodynamics I—Newtonian Cosmology result: the right answer—Dr. Friedmann's famous equation Suitable for framing, tweets, T-shirts, tattoos...

Q: what's the Friedmann eq? who cares—i.e., why is it useful? Einstein-de Sitter solution: $\rho = \rho_{\rm m}, \ \kappa = 0$

Q: fate? expansion rate?

Q: what if $\kappa = +1$?

Matter and Curvature

What if $\kappa = +1$?

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_0 a^{-3} - \frac{c^2}{R^2}a^{-2}$$

a cannot grow without bound Q: why?

Q: what is a_{max} ?

Q: evolution after $a = a_{max}$? cosmic fate?

What if $\kappa = -1$?

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_0 a^{-3} + \frac{c^2}{R^2}a^{-2}$$

Q: what is a_{max} ? cosmic fate?

Matter and Curvature

if $\kappa = +1$: positive curvature

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_0 a^{-3} - \frac{c^2}{R^2}a^{-2}$$

- $H = \dot{a}/a = 0$ when $a = a_{\text{max}} = 8\pi GR^2/3c^2$
- but for all t, all a: $\ddot{a}/a = -4\pi G\rho/3 < 0$ \rightarrow after maximum, $H < 0 \rightarrow universe\ contracts$ fate: collapse continues back to a = 0: "big crunch!"

if $\kappa = -1$: negative curvature

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_0 a^{-3} + \frac{c^2}{R^2}a^{-2}$$

H>0 for all $a\to a$ grows without bound fate: expand forever—"big chill"! at large t, "curvature-dominated": $a(t)\to ct/R$

Q: how can we tell what our κ value is?

Geometry, Density, and Dynamics

rewrite Friedmann

$$1 = \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3H^2} - \frac{\kappa c^2}{R^2} (aH)^{-2} = \Omega - \frac{\kappa c^2}{R^2} (aH)^{-2}$$
 (1)

where the density parameter is

$$\Omega = \frac{\rho}{\rho_{\text{crit}}} \tag{2}$$

where the critical density is

$$\rho_{\text{crit}} = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G} \tag{3}$$

Note: for a particular density component ρ_i corresponding density parameter is $\Omega_i = \rho_i/\rho_{\rm crit}$ total $\Omega \equiv \Omega_{\rm tot}$ sums all species: $\Omega = \sum_i \Omega_i$

Note that

$$\kappa = \left(\frac{aHR}{c}\right)^2 (\Omega - 1) = (\text{pos def}) \times (\Omega - 1)$$

geometry (and fate*) of Universe $\Leftrightarrow \kappa \Leftrightarrow \Omega - 1$

if $\Omega = 1$ ever:

• $\Omega = 1$ always; $\kappa = 0 \rightarrow$ no curvature, expand forever

if $\Omega < 1$ ever:

ullet $\Omega < 1$ always; $\kappa = -1 o$ negative curvature, expand forever

if $\Omega > 1$ ever:

ullet $\Omega > 1$ always; $\kappa = +1 o$ positive curvature, recollapse

Q: but if Ω just a stand-in for κ , why useful?

* κ always gives geometry, but κ and fate decoupled if $\Lambda \neq 0$

Geometry and Fate are Knowable!

we saw: κ found from Ω

and: we can determine $\Omega \propto \rho/H^2$ from *locally measurable quantities* ρ and H: \rightarrow cosmic fate & geometry knowable! ...and become *experimental questions!*

But recall:

so far, only have considered non-relativistic matter definitely an incomplete picture

→ at minimum, must include photons!

To Be or Not to Be Relativistic

```
for a particle ("species") of mass m relativistic status set by comparison: typical speed v vs c equivalent to comparing: typical E_{\rm kin} vs mc^2 but if thermal, E_{\rm kin} \sim kT \rightarrow relativistic: kT \gg mc^2 \rightarrow non-relativistic: kT \ll mc^2
```

massless particles

if m=0: always have v=c o forever relativistic

massive particles

if m > 0: always a time in Early U when $kT \gg mc^2$

- → massive particles born relativistic, become non-rel!
- → relativistic status is time-dependent!

Q: are there species which are always relativistic? non?

Q: what is relativistic, non-rel today?

Today: $kT_{\rm CMB,0} \sim 10^{-4}~{\rm eV}$ always: photons relativistic because $m_{\gamma}=0$ gravitons also massless (if they exist) clearly: $m_e c^2, m_p c^2 \gg kT_0 \rightarrow {\rm non-relativistic}$ today! but were relativistic in early U

but what about *neutrinos?* we know: 3 massive species exist do not (yet!) know mass of any species but we *do* know their mass differences for experts: oscillation experiments measure $\delta m_{ij}^2 = m_i^2 - m_j^2$ which set a laboratory-based *lower limit*: heaviest neutrino must have $m_{\nu} > 0.04$ eV \rightarrow at least one ν species non-relativistic today!

 \rightarrow contributes to Ω_{matter}

Redshifts I

quick-n-dirty: wavelengths are lengths! ..it's right there in the name! \rightarrow expansion stretches photon λ

$$\lambda \propto a$$

if emit photon at t_{em} , then at later times

$$\lambda(t) = \lambda_{\text{emit}} \frac{a(t)}{a(t_{\text{em}})} \tag{4}$$

if *observe* later, $\lambda_{\rm obs} = \lambda_{\rm em} \ a_{\rm obs}/a_{\rm em}$ measure redshift today:

$$z = \frac{\lambda_{\text{obs}} - \lambda_{\text{em}}}{\lambda_{\text{em}}} = \frac{1 - a_{\text{em}}}{a_{\text{em}}}$$
 (5)

9

 $high \ z \ \leftrightarrow \ small \ a \ \leftrightarrow \ distant \ past$

Newtonian Derivation of Redshift: Hubble & Doppler

slower-n-cleaner: non-relativistic Doppler non-rel Doppler sez:

$$\frac{\delta\lambda}{\lambda} \equiv z = \frac{v}{c} \tag{6}$$

Hubble sez:

$$cz = Hr \tag{7}$$

Together

$$\frac{\delta\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{Hr}{c} \tag{8}$$

But light travels distance r in time $\delta t = r/c$, so

$$\frac{\delta\lambda}{\lambda} = H\delta t = \frac{\dot{a}\delta t}{a} = \frac{\delta a}{a} \tag{9}$$

for arriving light, fractional λ change = fractional a change!

 $\overline{}$

Scale Factor and Redshift

$$a = \frac{1}{1+z}$$
$$z = \frac{1}{a} - 1$$

recordholders to date-most distant objects www: recordholders

- farthest quasar: z = 7.085
- farthest gamma-ray burst: $z \approx 9.2$
- farthest galaxy: $z \sim 12$ (photometric data only)

For z = 12, when light emitted:

- \rightarrow scale factor was a = 0.08
- interparticle (intergalactic) distances 8% of today!
- ightarrow galaxies were 13 times closer

squeezed into volumes 2200 times smaller!

$$\rightarrow$$
 age: $t = 2/3 \ \Omega_{\rm m}^{-1/2} t_{\rm H}/(1+z)^{3/2} = 0.026 \ t_{\rm H} = 370 \ {\rm Myr}$

Q: implications of seeing galaxies and GRBs at such z?

Redshifts and Photon Energies

in photon picture of light: $E_{\gamma}=hc/\lambda$

so in cosmological context photons have

$$E_{\gamma} \propto \frac{1}{a}$$
 (10)

 $ightarrow \gamma$ energy redshifts

Consequences:

 \triangleright Q: photon energy density $\varepsilon(a)$?

 $Q: T \leftrightarrow \lambda$ connection?

Q: expansion effect on T?

Relativistic Species

Photon energy density: $\varepsilon_{\gamma}=E_{\gamma}~n_{\gamma}$ average photon energy: $E_{\gamma}\propto a^{-1}$ photon number density: conserved $n_{\gamma}\propto a^{-3}$ (if no emission/absorption) \Rightarrow $\varepsilon_{\gamma}\propto a^{-4}$

Thermal (blackbody) radiation:

Wien's law: $T \propto 1/\lambda_{\rm max}$ but since $\lambda \propto a \to {\rm then} \ T \propto 1/a$

Consequences:

- $\varepsilon_{\gamma} \propto T^4$: Boltzmann/Planck!
- T decreases \rightarrow U cools! today: CMB $T_0 = 2.725 \pm 0.001$ K distant but "garden variety" quasar: z=3 "feels" T=8 K (effect observed!)