

Astro 596/496 NPA
Lecture 13
February 15, 2019

Announcements:

- **Problem Set 2** due today
- **Preflight 3** due next Friday

Last time: *Al Friedmann's amazing equation*

Q: Friedmann (energy) eq is...?

Q: what factors are constant? what are variable?

Looking ahead: nuke/particle tour of cosmology

- known microphysics: cosmic microwave background
- known microphysics: big bang nucleosynthesis
- new physics: the dark universe
particle dark matter, dark energy

Friedmann (Energy) Equation

$$H^2 = \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3}G\rho - \frac{\kappa c^2}{R^2 a^2} \quad (1)$$

variables change with time

a : cosmic scale factor

ρ : total cosmic mass-energy density

constants fixed for all time

$\kappa = \pm 1$ or 0 : sign of “energy” (curvature) term

R : characteristic lengthscale, GR \rightarrow curvature scale

The Cosmic Microwave Background

CMB: Discovery

Penzias & Wilson (1965)

“A Measurement of Excess Antenna Temperature at 4080 Mc/s”

- Bell Labs (Holmdel, NJ) radio telescope
- careful checks of systematics! this is most of their paper!
...obligatory pigeon story

www: Penzias & Wilson paper

note: *antenna temperature* $T_{\text{ant},\nu}$ measures radio (bolometer)
specific *intensity* I_ν at a given frequency ν

$$I_\nu = B_\nu(T_{\text{ant},\nu}) \quad (2)$$

- ⊢ to be same a blackbody with Planck spectrum B_ν at T_{ann}
Penzias & Wilson: $\nu = 4.080$ GHz

Excess Antenna Temperature at 4080 Mc/s

Penzias & Wilson (1965)

- $T_{\text{ant},\nu} = 3.5 \pm 1.0 \text{ K}$ at $\nu = 4.080 \text{ GHz}$
- other properties:

This excess temperature is, within the limits of our observations, isotropic, unpolarized, and free from seasonal variations (July, 1964 - April, 1965).

Q: what does this imply about thermal/nonthermal components?

Q: why seasonal variations important?

Q: how did P&W know the spectrum is thermal?

Note: the strict empiricism in 2-page P&W writeup:

- *none* of the words “cosmology,” “universe,” or “background” appear in any form
- not even any direct claim that the signal is extraterrestrial!

Entire P&W interpretive discussion follows:

A possible explanation for the observed excess noise temperature is the one given by Dicke, Peebles, Roll, and Wilkinson (1965) in a companion letter...

...which is entitled

“Cosmic Black-body Radiation”

The Isotropic CMB: Present Data

Spectrum

best data: FIRAS instrument on
Cosmic Background Explorer (COBE)

Fixsen et al (1996):

- *www*: T_{antenna} plot – consistent with purely thermal
- present all-sky temperature

$$T_0 = 2.7255 \pm 0.0006 \text{ K}$$

- from Wien's law: spectral peaks are

$$\lambda_{\text{max}} = \frac{0.290 \text{ cm K}}{T_0} = 1.06 \text{ mm} \quad (3)$$

$$\nu_{\text{max}} = 58.5 \text{ GHz K}^{-1} T_0 = 159 \text{ GHz} \quad (4)$$

Note: $\nu_{\text{max}}\lambda_{\text{max}} \neq c!$

Derived CMB Properties

the CMB is a blackbody, and thus:

the temperature completely determines its properties!

energy density

$$\varepsilon_{\gamma,0} = \frac{\pi^2 (kT_0)^4}{15 (\hbar c)^3} = 0.26057 \text{ eV/cm}^3 \quad (5)$$

evolving as $\varepsilon_{\gamma} = \varepsilon_{\gamma,0}/a^4 = (1+z)^4 \varepsilon_{\gamma,0}$

Q: *c.f. starlight?* [www: cosmic radiation backgrounds](#)

equivalent mass density

$$\rho_{\gamma,0} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\gamma,0}}{c^2} = 4.6451 \times 10^{-34} \text{ g/cm}^3 \quad (6)$$

∞ much less than matter density today! $\rho_{\gamma,0} \ll \rho_{m,0}$

Q: *Implications of Planck shape to spectrum?*

Planck Form: Implications

The observed CMB is consistent, at high precision, with *a purely Planckian form* that is: to high precision, **the CMB is a perfect blackbody**

but a blackbody spectrum:

- characterizes a system in thermodynamic equilibrium at T
- is independent of the size, shape, or composition of the system in equilibrium
- see extras below for more on this

thus the CMB implies that

the Universe once attained thermodynamic equilibrium

- i.e., the Universe was once “*in good thermal contact*”
...we'll make this notion more precise

Note also that the *present* universe
must be *transparent* to the CMB

Q: why is this? what's the evidence?

Q: what does this imply about epoch probed by CMB?

The present Universe is transparent to the CMB

e.g., high-redshift radio sources (quasars) are visible
thus the CMB is now *decoupled* from cosmic matter
and has been, at least to largest observed sources $z \gtrsim 10$

thus: for at least $z \lesssim 10$, matter and radiation
in the Universe were *not held in equilibrium*

the equilibrium and thermalization needed to come earlier

- higher density
- higher temperature

the Planckian CMB points to a hot, dense early Universe

To Be or Not to Be Relativistic

for a particle (“species”) of mass m

relativistic status set by comparison: **typical speed v** vs c

equivalent to comparing: typical E_{kin} vs mc^2

but if thermal, $E_{\text{kin}} \sim kT$

→ relativistic: $kT \gg mc^2$ → non-relativistic: $kT \ll mc^2$

massless particles

if $m = 0$: always have $v = c$ → forever relativistic

massive particles

if $m > 0$: *always* a time in Early U when $kT \gg mc^2$

→ massive particles born relativistic, become non-rel!

→ relativistic status is time-dependent!

12

Q: *are there species which are always relativistic? non?*

Q: *what is relativistic, non-rel today?*

Today: $kT_{\text{CMB},0} \sim 10^{-4}$ eV

always: photons relativistic because $m_\gamma = 0$

gravitons also massless (if they exist)

clearly: $m_e c^2, m_p c^2 \gg kT_0 \rightarrow$ non-relativistic today!

but *were* relativistic in early U

but what about *neutrinos*?

looking ahead, we know: 3 massive species exist

do not (yet!) know mass of any species

but we *do* know their mass differences

for experts: oscillation experiments measure $\delta m_{ij}^2 = m_i^2 - m_j^2$

which set a laboratory-based *lower limit*:

heaviest neutrino must have $m_\nu > 0.04$ eV

\rightarrow *at least one ν species non-relativistic today!*

\rightarrow contributes to matter density ρ_{matter}

Radiation and Friedmann

definition: to cosmologist, **radiation** \equiv *relativistic* matter
photons or *any* particle with $v \sim c$, $E \sim T \gg mc^2$
energy density $\epsilon_{\text{rad}} \propto a^{-4}$

equivalent gravitational mass density: $\epsilon = \rho c^2 \rightarrow \rho_{\text{rad}} \propto a^{-4}$

Add radiation to Friedmann:

$$\rho = \rho_{\text{total}} = \rho_{\text{m}} + \rho_{\text{rad}}$$

note: today, $\rho_{r,0} < 10^{-4} \rho_{\text{matter}}$

Also: Maxwell says pressure $P_{\text{EM}} = \epsilon_{\text{EM}}/3$

- include this in Friedmann acceleration
- put $V = a^3$, so $\epsilon \propto V^{-4/3}$, and

$$d(\epsilon_{\text{rad}} V) = -1/3 \epsilon dV = -p_{\text{rad}} dV$$

Q: *physical interpretation?*

Density Evolution

to solve Friedmann, need $\rho(a)$ for all cosmic components

Cosmological “**1st Law of Thermodynamics**”

$$d(\rho c^2 a^3) = -p da^3 \quad (7)$$

GR verifies this is correct!

⇒ reconciles Friedmann energy, accel eqs:

ensures that $\ddot{a} = d\dot{a}/dt$ (try it!)

to solve, need to relate p to $\rho c^2 \rightarrow$ **equation of state**

- non-rel matter: $p_m \ll \rho_m c^2 \approx 0$ Q: why? e.g., ideal gas?
- radiation: $p_{\text{rad}} = \rho_{\text{rad}} c^2 / 3$

Equation of State: Constant Scaling with Density

generalize:

$$p = w\rho c^2 \quad (8)$$

defines “*state parameter*” w

Q: *what are w_{matter} and w_{rad} ?*

can solve 1st Law eq for matter with **constant** w :

$$\rho_w \propto a^{-3(1+w)} \quad (9)$$

Q: *what if $w = 0, +1/3, -1$?*

Cosmic Constituents

In general:

$$P = w\varepsilon = w\rho c^2 \Rightarrow \varepsilon = \rho c^2 \propto a^{-3(1+w)}$$

Matter (non-relativistic, a.k.a. “dust”):

$$P_m \ll \varepsilon_m \approx \rho_m c^2 \Rightarrow P_m \simeq 0 \quad (w_m \simeq 0)$$
$$\Rightarrow \rho_m \propto a^{-3}$$

Radiation (relativistic species): today, photons and neutrinos

$$P_{\text{rad}} = \varepsilon_{\text{rad}}/3 = 1/3 \rho_{\text{rad}} c^2 \Rightarrow w_{\text{rad}} = 1/3$$
$$\rightarrow \rho_{\text{rad}} \propto a^{-4}$$

Cosmo constant Λ $w_\Lambda = -1$:

$$P_\Lambda = -\varepsilon_\Lambda = -\rho_\Lambda c^2 \text{ negative pressure ?!}$$

$\rho_\Lambda = \text{const}$ (indep of a) Q: why is this bizarre?

17

Q: if all these components exist, which dominates at late times?
early times?